Effect of different sampling designs and methods on the estimation of secondary production: A simulation

Mathieu Cusson, 1 Jean-François Plante, 2 and Christian Genest 3

¹Québec-Océan, Département de biologie, Université Laval, Québec, Canada

²Department of Statistics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

³Département de mathématiques et de statistique, Université Laval, Québec, Canada

Web Appendix 1

This appendix describes 13 estimation techniques that were investigated in the Monte Carlo simulation study, in addition to the 7 classic methods. The notation used throughout this section is the same as in the main body of the text.

IS-2 Same as IS-1, except that

$$P_1 = \left(B_{t_1 1 \bullet} - B_{11 \bullet}\right) + \sum_{t=t}^{T-1} \left(N_{(t+1) 1 \bullet} + N_{t1 \bullet}\right) \left(W_{(t+1) 1 \bullet} - W_{t1 \bullet}\right) / 2,$$

where t_1 is the sampling occasion on which N_{t1} is the largest, i.e., as per the suggestion of Siegismund (1982).

- IS-3 Same as IS-1, but discarding values to ensure positive production, i.e., computing P_i using only the largest possible subset of times for which W_{ti} increases in t, starting with $W_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}$.
- IS-4 Keeping all points as in IS-1, but replacing negative productions by zeros, i.e., using

$$P_{i} = \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \left(N_{(t+1)i\bullet} + N_{ti\bullet} \right) \max \left(0, W_{(t+1)i\bullet} - W_{ti\bullet} \right) / 2.$$

IG-2 Same as IG-1, but replacing negative productions by zeros, i.e.,

$$P_i = \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \left(B_{(t+1)i\bullet} + B_{ii\bullet} \right) \max \left\{ 0, \log \left(\frac{W_{(t+1)i\bullet}}{W_{ii\bullet}} \right) \right\} / 2.$$

- AC-2 Same as AC-1, but discarding points prior to the largest N, i.e., for each cohort i, find the time t_i at which $N_{ti\bullet}$ is maximized, and discard couples $(N_{ti\bullet}, W_{ti\bullet})$ for which $t < t_i$.
- AC-3 An Allen curve obtained by merging all cohorts in one; specifically, sampling cohort i at time T_t was treated as equivalent to sampling cohort 1 at time $365(i-1) + T_t$ and the curve $\log N = \alpha + \beta W$ was fitted, which led to

$$P = \frac{e^{\alpha}}{\beta} \left(e^{\beta W_{\text{max}}} - e^{\beta W_{\text{min}}} \right)$$

with $W_{\rm max}$ and $W_{\rm min}$, respectively, standing for the largest and smallest weights, overall.

SF-2 A variant of SF-1 proposed by Krueger and Martin (1980), i.e.,

$$P = K \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \left(N_{\bullet \bullet (k+1)} - N_{\bullet \bullet k} \right) \sqrt{W_{\bullet \bullet (k+1)} W_{\bullet \bullet k}}.$$

SF-3 Another variant of SF-1 mentioned by Cusson (2004), i.e.,

$$P = K \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \left(N_{\bullet \bullet (k+1)} + N_{\bullet \bullet k} \right) \left(W_{\bullet \bullet (k+1)} - W_{\bullet \bullet k} \right) / 2.$$

GR-2 A variant of GR-1 with a von Bertalanffy adjustment curve, i.e.,

$$P = K \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} B_{\bullet \bullet k} \left\{ \hat{a} - \hat{a} \frac{L_K}{\ell(k)} \right\} \log \left\{ \frac{\hat{L}_{\max} - \ell(k+1)}{\hat{L}_{\max} - \ell(k)} \right\},$$

where $\ell(k) = (L_k + L_{k+1})/2$ and \hat{L}_{max} is obtained by fitting a von Bertalanffy growth curve

$$L(T) = \hat{L}_{\max} \; \{1 - \exp \, \hat{\lambda} \, (T - \hat{T}_0)\}, \label{eq:loss}$$

while \hat{a} results from adjusting the linear regression curve

$$\log(W) = \hat{a}\log(L) + \hat{b}.$$

In both cases, the adjustment is based on cohort-merged data.

GR-3 A variant of GR-1 assuming length growth to be linear in time, i.e.,

$$P = I \sum_{k=1}^{K} B_{\bullet \bullet k} \, \hat{a} \hat{\lambda} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\hat{L}_{\text{max}}}{\ell \left(k \right)} \right\}$$

where \hat{a} , $\ell(k)$, \hat{L}_{\max} , and $\hat{\lambda}$ are as defined above. The same substitution as in GR-2 was made, except that time was assumed to be a linear function of the size in length.

GR-4 A variant of GR-1 using length as a surrogate for time, i.e.,

$$P = K \sum_{k=1}^{K} B_{\bullet \bullet k} \frac{\hat{a}}{k},$$

where \hat{a} is the same as above.

MR-2 A variant of MR-1 assuming that length growth is linear in time, i.e.,

$$P = -\hat{z} I \sum_{k=1}^{K} B_{\bullet \bullet k},$$

where \hat{z} was obtained from regressing $\log(N) = \hat{z_0} + \hat{z} T$ on the cohort-merged data (as in AC-3).

MR-3 Similar to MR-2, but using length as a surrogate for time, i.e.,

$$P = K \sum_{k=1}^{K} B_{\bullet \bullet k} \left\{ \frac{\hat{z}}{\hat{\lambda}(K+1-k)} \right\},\,$$

where \hat{z} is defined as in MR-2 and $\hat{\lambda}$ has the same meaning as in GR-2.