Development of an Hexapod BioMicroRobot with Nafion-Pt IPMC Microlegs
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Abstract—This paper presents an artificial locomotion servo-system for an insect like hexapod BioMicroRobot (BMR). This servo-system, programmed with VHDL code, will act as a driver in a RISC architecture microcontroller to reproduce insect tripod walking. An overview of the robot control system, in accordance with the insect displacement principle, is demonstrated with timing parameters. A control algorithm of the six legs driving the robot in any direction versus Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) is reviewed. BMR microlegs are built with cylindrical Nafion-Pt Ionomeric Polymer-Metal Composite (IPMC) that have 2.5 degrees of freedom. Specific fabrication process for one leg is exposed. Dynamic behavior and microleg characteristics have been measured in deionized water using a laser vibrometer. BMR current consumption is an important parameter evaluated for each leg. Hardware test bench to acquired measurement is presented. The purpose of this design is to control a BMR for biomedical goals like implantation in human body. Experimental results on the proposed legs are conclusive for this type of bioinspired BMR.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Several designers and researchers in micro and nano robotic hope their robots will perform biomedical applications. Currently, these robots are at the early stage of development and the objectives to reach are spread out over several years [1]. Many microactuators are in development for insect-like microrobot legs such as bimorph cantilever structures acting as thermal actuator coupled or not with Photonic Band Gap (PBG) materials, piezoelectric, electrostatic, magnetostrictive, shape memory alloy (SMA) and ElectroActive Polymer (EAP) like ionic polymer to name a few [2].

The BioMicroRobot (BMR) actuator consist in a cylindrical Nafion-Pt Ionomeric Polymer-Metal Composite (IPMC). IPMC have three components: an Ion-Exchange Membrane (IEM) like perfluorosulfonic acid polymer, some cations and at least two electrodes. This EAP actuator has many advantages over all others: has quick response to low voltage, bends more than 100K times, generates distributive deformation, has a small weight, can be downsized easily and can be used in water [3]. It seems to be safe in human body [4] while no water electrolysis is produced. It can be driven at low voltage; less than 2 Volts but step transient response shows high instantaneous current consumption with Nafion-Pt and Lithium counter-ion. (around mA). Water electrolysis and current consumption are two major IPMC disadvantages for microrobot applications.

IEM consist of a cross-linked ionomer matrix with a relatively uniform distribution of ion-active sites (cluster network) throughout the structure. Effects of different types of cations, electrode-membrane interfacial area, and surface electrode resistance on performance are well known [5], [6] and [7]. IPMC works on two principles. First, the electro- osmosis in the ionomer moves the cation and water to the cathode side by the electrostatic force when an electric field is applied. The osmotic pressure inside the polymer creates bending moment that produces a corresponding displacement [8]. The second principle is pumping effect of cations. It would be the dominant contribution in the case of hydrophobic cations [9].

II. METHODOLOGY

In previous study, we have used tubular Perma Pure Inc. TT-030 Nafion®. However, actuator movement is too small for this application due to its shape. Actually, the use of Nafion® N-117 (DuPont) fiber is better for displacement rate and current consumption. The size of this new microactuator is about 200 µm x 200 µm. Its length L is about 3000 µm for the actuator characterization (Fig. 2). Expected final microlegs length on BMR body is about 1500 µm.

A. IPMC Fabrication

This method can be done with any ionomer membrane. Ion Exchange Membrane (IEM) is a hydrophilic ionic polymer and swells up about 10% in water at room temperature. The membrane N-117 is cutted in fibers of 187 µm. Fibers are attached to a rotating device and are roughened at about 150 RPM using smooth sandpaper 1000. The ionomer is then cleaned in an ultrasonic water bath and boiled in HCl and water about 30 minutes each. The ionomer is then cleaned in an ultrasonic water bath and boiled in HCl and water about 30 minutes each. The ionomer is chemically plated with platinum [10]. Ion-exchange (absorption) and reduction process are repeated up to two times to make thick porous dendrilic platinum layers. Li⁺ cations are used on all the IPMC to get fastest responses. The electrodes on the tube and fiber are cut in four sections using a laser process (Fig. 6a).

B. Microleg experimental measurement

In order to obtain the dynamic behavior of a microlegs under electrical solicitation, a scanning laser vibrometer is used to measure the free end speed of displacement.
The beam formed by the actuator is clamped at one end and is acting freely in deionized water. The laser beam goes through an optical window and reaches the microleg perpendicular to its neutral plane (Fig. 1). Refraction of light is leaved out in consideration of relative measurements.

The applied voltage is a periodic Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) signal programmed in an arbitrary waveform generator HP33120A. The waveform consists of a 1024 points signal, where half points form a positive PWM and the others a negative one. Instantaneous speed was measured at the tip of the actuator and stored for all duty cycles used in the PWM signals of the waveform. The waveform has a 3 V peak to peak and is applied at 10 and 20 Hz. Those measurements were done far away from the first resonance of the mechanical system: a modal analysis of the microleg using a random applied voltage and scanning capability of the vibrometer reveals a first natural frequency of 180 Hz. This frequency takes into account coupling between the fluid and the structure.

C. Microlegs mathematical modelisation

Behavioral simulation is useful to predict microlegs movement. Simulation coupled with scanning laser vibrometer measurements orient the design of a bioinspired control algorithm. Theoretical equations in cylindrical coordinate must be defined to predict the microlegs movement. Two conditions have been assumed to obtain theoretical equations on 2D bending characteristic. The actuator bends in a certain curvature (a circular arc model) and the length of neutral plane is fixed. 2D equations in universal rectangular coordinates for an active catheter are presented in [11]. Fig. 2 shows the bending principle. The actuator of length \( L \) bends at angle \( \alpha_i \) around a circle of center O. When the IPMC bends, the tangent circle of rayon \( r_i \) decreases with time. The bending angle \( \alpha_i \) and displacement \( s_i \) depend on the voltage \( V_i \) applied to the electrode. Tip position \( (x_i, y_i) \) can be calculated with (1) if \( z = 0 \).

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_i &= r_i \sin(\alpha_i) \\
    y_i &= \sqrt{r_i^2(1-\cos(\alpha_i))^2-z_i^2} \\
    \rho_i &= 2r_i \sin(\alpha_i/2) \\
    \theta_i &= \alpha_i/2 = L/(2r_i)
\end{align*}
\]  

Fig. 2. Bending principle of IPMC.

The cyclic movement of a walking leg consists of two parts (Fig. 4): the power stroke (PS, also stance or support phase that propels the body) and the return stroke (RS, also swing or recovery phase). The anterior transition point (transition from swing to stance) is called the anterior extreme position (AEP) and the posterior transition point is called the posterior extreme position (PEP). The most critical point to maintain robot’s stability is the transition from PS to RS [12].
Two conditions must be reached to keep robot's stability at all locomotion phases. The center of gravity of the body must lie in the triangle of support. Also, three feet must always be on the ground simultaneously. The body is supported alternatively, for equal period of time, by one of the two leg groups (tripod gait): A (L1R2L3) or B (R1L2R3) (Fig. 5). The stability margin is defined as the shortest distance (d1, d2, d3) from the center of mass to the edge of the tripod of support. Many insects closely conform to this pattern when moving quite rapidly [13], [14]. Two main strategies are studied to allow the insect to turn: increasing step frequency or step length of legs on one side of the body versus the other [15].

B. Microlegs configuration

BMR body is the support for the actual microlegs. Leg configuration on body is an important consideration to drive the robot in any direction (Fig. 6b). Experimental results show that half period of stance doesn’t need any power consumption while the other legs are in swing period (maximal current consumption). IEM elasticity propels the BMR body. Bending angle decrease or increase the stance and the swing time that produce BMR turn.

C. Control system

The current 8-bits RISC architecture microcontroller design for this application does not support multiple voltages at the output parallel port [16]. While actuator can be modeled by a capacitor and a resistor [17], the use of PWM can adjust the level of V_{RMS}. Then, the control of bending angle is possible. Finite State Machine (FSM) is designed with eight states and the output is controlled by PWM (Fig. 7). FSM has one input bit: F (Forward). The other MSB bits, R (Right) and L (Left), control the PWM width (duty cycle). The ten bits, including LSB α bits, is proportional to the bending angle of the actuator.

BMR will go forward when R and L are set to zero. Pulse width will be the same for the left and the right legs. Also, it can turn the same way as an insect when the duty cycle of the PWM is changed for the left or the right legs. Only three bits, stored in microcontroller LEG register, are used to control the direction of the robot. These bits can be modified by user algorithms.

IV. RESULTS

Overall system is coded as a driver in VHDL and is tested on FPGA Xilinx Spartan IIE XC2S200 on a Digilab 2 (D2) board. Hardware test bench includes H-bridge MOSFET transistors to drive IPMC opposite electrodes on the ionomer and to isolate FPGA.
Actually, PWM period is fixed in PWM module. Experimental results show that a frequency of 763 Hz and 24 Hz for PWM and FSM respectively can drive one BMR leg. Half period of stance is calculated at about 0.042 second (maximum bending to relaxation position). Fig. 8 shows FSM signal from FPGA and current consumption with timing parameters. Results shown in Fig. 9 are linear regressions of the maximum speed for the two frequencies. Actuator tip speed response deals with a great linearity in function of duty cycle. In future work, the module will be included in the microcontroller.

V. CONCLUSION

Using FSM and PWM to drive actuators, the robot can move in any direction similar to an insect with a specific configuration demonstrated in this paper. It has been shown that the tip speed of the actuator can be predicted by a linear equation of the duty cycle used to command the leg.
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