ABSTRACT. We investigated home-range characteristics and habitat selection by Black-backed Woodpeckers (*Picoides arcticus*) in an unburned, boreal forest landscape managed by mosaic harvesting in Quebec, Canada. Habitat selection by this species was specifically examined to determine home-range establishment and foraging activities. We hypothesized that Black-backed Woodpeckers would respond to harvesting by adjusting their home-range size as a function of the amount of dead wood available. Twenty-two birds were tracked using radiotelemetry, and reliable estimates of home-range size were obtained for seven breeding individuals (six males and one female). The average home-range size was 151.5 ± 18.8 ha (range: 100.4–256.4 ha). Our results indicate that this species establishes home ranges in areas where both open and forested habitats are available. However, during foraging activities, individuals preferentially selected areas dominated by old coniferous stands. The study also showed that the spatial distribution of preferred foraging habitat patches influenced space use, with home-range area increasing with the median distance between old coniferous habitat patches available within the landscape. Finally, these data show that Black-backed Woodpeckers may successfully breed in an unburned forest with at least 35 m$^3$•ha$^{-1}$ of dead wood, of which 42% (15 m$^3$•ha$^{-1}$) is represented by dead wood at the early decay stage.

RÉSUMÉ. Nous avons étudié les caractéristiques du domaine vital et la sélection de l’habitat chez le Pic à dos noir (*Picoides arcticus*) en forêt boréale non brûlée et aménagée par coupes en mosaïque, au Québec (Canada). La sélection de l’habitat a été tout particulièrement examinée afin de déterminer le domaine vital et les activités liées à l’alimentation chez cette espèce. Nous avons émis l’hypothèse selon laquelle le Pic à dos noir réagirait à la récolte de bois en modifiant la taille de son domaine vital selon la quantité de bois mort accessible. Vingt-deux oiseaux ont été suivis à l’aide de la télémétrie et des estimations fiables de la taille du domaine vital ont été obtenues pour sept individus nicheurs (six mâles et une femelle). La taille moyenne du domaine vital a été évaluée à 151,5 ± 18,8 ha (étendue : 100,4–256,4 ha). Nos résultats indiquent que cette espèce établit son domaine vital là où des milieux ouverts et des milieux forestiers sont accessibles. Toutefois, au moment de s’alimenter, les individus sélectionnent préférentiellement les endroits où dominent les peuplements de vieux conifères. Les travaux ont également montré que la répartition spatiale des îlots d’habitat préférés pour l’alimentation du pic influence l’utilisation de l’espace : la taille du domaine vital augmente en fonction de la distance médiane entre les îlots de vieux conifères accessibles dans le paysage. Enfin, les résultats indiquent que le Pic à dos noir peut nicher avec succès en forêt non brûlée s’il y a un volume de bois mort d’au moins 35 m$^3$•ha$^{-1}$, dont 42% (15 m$^3$•ha$^{-1}$) est en début de décomposition.
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INTRODUCTION

The boreal forest is a dynamic ecosystem where natural and anthropogenic disturbances shape the landscape (Bergeron et al. 2001, 2002). Forest fires are the main source of natural disturbance in this biome, with millions of hectares of forest being consumed annually in Canada (Girardin et al. 2008). However, timber harvesting has recently become the main perturbation in this ecosystem (McRae et al. 2001). In harvested landscapes, a proportion of old-growth forest is being replaced by younger stands (Drapeau et al. 2005), which are characterized by a lower volume of dead wood (Darveau and Desrochers 2001). Furthermore, the distribution of harvested areas in the landscape alters the abundance and distribution of dead wood and is likely to influence movement patterns and space use by primary cavity-nesting species such as woodpeckers (Picoides spp.) (Pasinelli 2000, Pechacek 2004), which rely on decaying wood for foraging and nesting (Backhouse 2005). Significant changes in the abundance of primary cavity nesters could have a knock-on effect on various species of birds and mammals that are secondary users of tree cavities (Martin and Eadie 1999, Martin et al. 2004). Examples of species that regularly use woodpecker cavities in the eastern Canadian boreal forest include the Eastern Bluebird (Sialia sialis), Tree Swallow (Tachycineta bicolor), and red squirrel (Tamiasciurus hudsonicus).

There are relatively few primary cavity nesters in the conifer-dominated boreal forest of Canada, the most abundant being the American Three-toed Woodpecker (Picoides dorsalis) and Black-backed Woodpecker (Picoides arcticus). These species depend on snags at the early decay stage for foraging and nesting (Raphael and White 1984, Goggans et al. 1989, Villard and Beninger 1993, Villard 1994, Imbeau and Desrochers 2002b). American and European Three-toed Woodpeckers (Picoides tridactylus) are associated with old-growth spruce (Picea spp.) stands (Virkkala 1987, Imbeau et al. 1999), but the importance of mature and overmature forests in the ecology of Black-backed Woodpeckers is still unclear (Raphael and White 1984). Although many authors have associated Black-backed Woodpeckers with recent post-fire stands (Hutto 1995, Murphy and Lehnhausen 1998, Powell 2000), this may reflect the fact that most of the recent studies on this species have been conducted in these habitats (Murphy and Lehnhausen 1998, Nappi et al. 2003, Dudley and Saab 2007, Koivula and Schmiegelow 2007, Saab et al. 2007, Nappi and Drapeau 2009), and little information is available from mature and overmature forest stands (Goggans et al. 1989). Goggans et al. (1989), in one of the few studies dealing with the ecology of American Three-toed and Black-backed Woodpeckers in unburned forests, found that Black-backed Woodpeckers in lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta) forests in Oregon positively selected mature and overmature stands, and avoided younger stands and logged areas. As a result of this study, the authors recommended that the Black-backed Woodpecker, rather than the American Three-toed Woodpecker, be used as an indicator species for mature and old-growth lodgepole pine stands (Goggans et al. 1989). Studies on sympatric American Three-toed and Black-backed Woodpeckers in unburned forest stands have shown that Black-backed Woodpeckers use larger and less decayed trees than American Three-toed Woodpeckers (Bull et al. 1986, Villard 1994). Black-backed Woodpeckers appear to be old-growth dependent and more abundant than American Three-toed Woodpeckers in the eastern boreal forest (Thompson et al. 1999, Setterington et al. 2000) and could, therefore, serve as an indicator species in this forest type. The Black-backed Woodpecker occurs almost exclusively in ≥80-year-old forest stands and appears to be sensitive to the dead-wood removal typical of modern forestry (Thompson et al. 1999). Information about habitat and space use by, and the resilience of, Black-backed Woodpeckers in managed boreal forests is lacking, but is of primary importance in assessing the impact of large-scale forestry on this species, and on secondary cavity users in the eastern boreal forest of Canada. This is especially true given that forestry is now the dominant disturbance factor in the boreal forest and occurs in most parts of Black-backed Woodpecker’s distribution range.

We investigated home-range characteristics and habitat selection of Black-backed Woodpeckers in unburned forest stands in order to identify quantitative targets for habitat management. Because of the Black-backed Woodpecker’s association with recently decayed dead wood for nesting and foraging (Raphael and White 1984, Bull et al. 1986, Goggans et al. 1989, Murphy and Lehnhausen 1998), we hypothesized that its occurrence would be negatively influenced by timber harvesting. More specifically, we predicted that: (1) Black-backed Woodpeckers would establish their home range in old coniferous stands...
and avoid cut stands, (2) they would select old coniferous stands for foraging, and (3) their home-range size would be related to the amount of dead wood available, with home ranges shrinking as dead-wood volume increases. Our results provide information that should favor the conservation of this saproxylic species in landscapes shaped by modern forestry practices.

METHODS

Study Area

The study area, within the black spruce–feathermoss forest of the Canadian boreal forest ecological domain (Saucier et al. 1998), covered 650 km$^2$ and was located 200 km northwest of Lac Saint-Jean, Quebec, Canada (50° 34’N, 72° 10’W: 200–650 m a.s.l.: Fig. 1). Forest stands were composed mainly of black spruce (Picea mariana) or black spruce mixed with jack pine (Pinus banksiana), balsam fir (Abies balsamea), white birch (Betula papyrifera), and aspen (Populus tremuloides), with a scattering of tamarack (Larix laricina). Forest fires were the major natural disturbances, followed by spruce budworm (Choristoneura fumiferana) outbreaks. Commercial timber harvesting and forest management at this latitude began in the last decade and the study area was logged using a mosaic harvesting regime, which resulted in logged and residual forested blocks interspersed over the landscape (Fig. 2). Even-aged stand management (cutting with regeneration and soil protection, CRSP) techniques were practiced in the southern part of the study area and uneven-aged stand management (cutting with little merchantable tree protection, CLMTP) in the northern part.

Trapping and Radio Tracking

Black-backed Woodpeckers were located from mid-May to mid-June in 2005 and 2006 using roadside surveys with playbacks of conspecific calls and drumming (Ibarzabal and Desmeules 2006). Playback stations were systematically established at 1-km intervals along major roads, which allowed habitat types to be sampled in proportion to their availability within the study area. Burned areas and a 2-km buffer zone around them were not sampled. Woodpeckers were captured using mist nets, and individuals showing evidence of breeding (i.e., presence of a brood patch) were fitted with a tail-mounted radiotransmitter (2.3 g; Model PD-2, Holohil Systems Ltd, Carp, Ontario) attached at the base of the two central rectrices. Transmitter batteries lasted about 8–10 weeks and the birds were followed from the hatching period up until the chicks fledged (i.e., 4–5 weeks). An individual that fledged at least one young was defined as a breeder.

Birds were located using the homing method (Mech 1983) with TRX-2000S radio receivers (Wildlife Materials, Murphysboro, Illinois) and three-element Yagi antennas. Individual woodpeckers were tracked at different times of the day to prevent bias related to cyclic behavior. For logistical reasons, we tried to alternate half days of data collection between woodpeckers wearing transmitters to collect data in both periods of the day (for instance, a woodpecker tracked before noon was tracked the next time after noon). Individuals were approached to within 10 m to establish visual or aural contact without disturbing them or, alternatively, to estimate location (± 5 m). The latter was recorded using hand-held GPS units (GPS Legend, Garmin Corp., Olathe, Kansas; ± 10 m) and the bird’s behavior was classified as foraging or not foraging. When the bird flew, the observer tried to follow it to record further location points. The birds continued their normal activities as long as observers kept a >3-m distance. We considered consecutive location data points to be spatially independent if >100 m apart (Pechacek and Oleire-Oltmanns 2004). The breeding cavity was considered as a single independent location, and to avoid undue influence on home-range size, no other location data points were taken within a 100-m radius (Pechacek 2004).

Assessment of Home-Range Size and Habitat Selection

Individual home ranges were calculated with Range VI software (Kenward et al. 2003), using all independent locations (foraging or not) and the minimum convex polygon method (100% MCP; Hayne 1949). Although the MCP method can include unused areas and has been criticized (White and Garrott 1990, Powell 2000, Kenward 2001), it is an appropriate method to assess the composition of home ranges in terms of habitat type (i.e., habitat availability at a given home-range scale). In contrast, the Kernel estimator performs poorly when there are relatively few location points available
Fig. 1. Habitat configuration, observed home ranges, and study area boundaries located 200 km northwest of Lac Saint-Jean, Quebec, Canada. The study-area boundaries were determined by creating a 4-km buffer zone (1 km playback carrying distance plus 3 km potential bird displacement) on both sides of the roads traveled during capture operations (different in 2005 and 2006).
Habitat selection of Black-backed Woodpeckers was examined at two spatial scales to assess the possibility of hierarchical selection. At the larger scale, hereafter referred to as the landscape scale, habitat composition within home ranges was compared with that of random home ranges (second-order selection; Johnson 1980). At the smaller scale (home-range scale), habitat at telemetry locations used during their foraging activities was compared with habitat found at random locations within individual home ranges (third-order selection; Johnson 1980).

To assess habitat selection at the landscape scale, habitat composition (proportion of habitat types) within breeding woodpecker home ranges was compared with that of a control home range per individual (eight observed and eight random home ranges). The latter were randomly positioned within the study area (translation and rotation). To determine the composition of observed and random home ranges, these were overlaid onto digitized habitat layers. ArcView Geoprocessing Wizard (Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI) 1996) was used to clip habitat types within home-range boundaries and calculate their proportions. At the home-range scale, habitat use was assessed using only locations when individuals were classified as foraging, and availability was assessed by drawing one random location per observed location within each Black-backed Woodpecker home range. This provided a total of 128 observed and 128 random locations. Habitat types were established using 1:20 000 forest maps published by the Quebec Ministry of Natural Resources in 1992. These maps provide accurate information when conducting a simple classification based on cover type and stand age (Potvin et al. 1999, Dussault et al. 2001). Predominant habitat types in the study area were barren lands, defoliated stands (windthrows and spruce budworm outbreak stands), young (<90 years old) and old (>90 years old) coniferous stands, and recent (<5 years old) and old (>5 years old) cuts. Fragstats 3.3.5 (McGarigal et al. 2002) was used to calculate the median distance between each patch and the nearest neighboring patch of the same habitat type, based on the center-to-center distance (McGarigal et al. 2002), to characterize the distribution of habitat patches within each home range.

Fig. 2. Example of interspersed landscape of logged and residual forested blocks after the first pass of the mosaic harvesting regime in the study area.
Sampling Dead Wood

Snag abundance was estimated on circular plots (0.04 ha) randomly distributed within the different home ranges. One plot was sampled for every 20 ha of home-range area and habitat types within woodpecker home ranges were sampled in proportion to their availability. The number of fallen logs were counted along three 20-m transects starting at 1 m from the plot center (Böhl and Brändli 2007). For snags and logs with a diameter at breast height (dbh) >5 cm, species, decay class, snag dbh and height, and log length and dbh at the line/log intersection point were recorded (Table 1). For snags that were severely leaning, an angle of 45º was used as the cutoff between a snag and a fallen log (Harmon and Sexton 1996). Log volume was calculated for each habitat type following Böhl and Brändli (2007). Even if Black-backed Woodpeckers showed a preference for snags, we noted that they were feeding on logs 20% of the time in the same study area (Tremblay et al., unpublished data). For this reason, we combined the volume of snags and logs in two categories: “all dead wood” and “dead wood at the early decay stage.” The latter included classes 1 and 2 for snags and logs (Table 1).

Statistical Analysis

Habitat selection was assessed at the landscape and home-range scales using resource selection functions (RSF). We used mixed-effects logistic regressions (PROC GLIMMIX; SAS Institute 2008) that allowed us to consider the non-independence between repeated measurements on the same individuals (i.e., observed and random data). We used individual ID as random factor and the proportion of the following habitat types within each woodpecker home range as variables to model habitat selection at the landscape scale: barren lands, young (<90 years old) and old (>90 years old) coniferous stands, recent (<5 years old) and old (>5 years old) cuts. At the home-range scale, we also used woodpecker ID as random factor but instead used the proportion of telemetry locations falling within the abovementioned habitat types as independent variables. Threshold-independent receiver operating characteristic curves (ROC, calculated using Glimmroc macro developed by Liu and Wu 2003) were used to assess regression model performance (Zweig and Campbell 1993). The ROC curve depicts sensitivity (proportion of true positives correctly predicted) and specificity (proportion of true negatives correctly predicted) pairs for the entire threshold range (Manel et al. 2001). It is generated by plotting sensitivity over one specificity. The area under this curve (AUC) provides an accurate measure of model performance. An AUC of 0.5 indicates that a model predicts no better than by chance, whereas an AUC of 1.0 indicates the model can perfectly distinguish between observed and random sites. Linear regression was used to examine the influence of dead wood on home-range size.

RESULTS

During the 2 years of the study, 38 Black-backed Woodpeckers were captured in unburned forests. Of these, 22 were fitted with radio transmitters. Signals were obtained from 17 birds and of those, four lost their radio transmitters. A sufficient number of locations were obtained to assess the home-range size of eight woodpeckers (i.e., the relationship between home-range size and number of locations reached an asymptote): seven breeders and one unsuccessful female (clutch failed to hatch). The average number of independent locations was 36 per bird (range: 28–50; n = 7). Mean home-range size was 151.5 ± 18.8 ha (range: 100.4–256.4 ha; n = 7) for successfully breeding woodpeckers. The home-range size of the bird that made an unsuccessful nesting attempt was 385.8 ha.

Old coniferous stands and recent cuts were the most abundant habitat types in the home ranges of breeding Black-backed Woodpeckers, each accounting for nearly a third of the area (Fig. 3). In contrast, defoliated stands and old cuts were almost absent (Fig. 3). At the landscape scale, woodpeckers non-significantly selected areas with a high proportion of recent cuts to establish their home range (Table 2).

Black-backed Woodpeckers foraged mostly in old coniferous stands and were never observed foraging in defoliated or in old cut stands (Fig. 4) and they also avoided recent cuts at the home-range scale (Table 2).
Table 1. Decay classes (1–5) for fallen logs and snags (modified from Tyrrell and Crow 1994; Bergeron et al. 1997).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decay class</th>
<th>Fallen log characteristics</th>
<th>Snag characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>Wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Recent</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Solid</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Solid decayed</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>solid/punky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Decayed</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>punky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Very Decayed</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>punky</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Habitat types differed greatly in terms of total volume of dead wood and volume of dead wood at the early decay stage (Table 3). Globally, old coniferous stands supported the highest volume of dead wood, snags, and fallen logs, whereas barren lands exhibited the lowest total dead-wood volume and recent cuts exhibited the lowest amount of dead wood at the early decay stage. The amount of dead wood was highly variable between sample sites in young and in old coniferous stands (Table 3).

No relationship was found between total dead-wood volume ($\beta_j = -0.0302; t$-value = -0.41; $r^2 = 0.0323; p = 0.6999$) or that at the early decay stage ($\beta_j = 0.0093; t$-value = 0.28; $r^2 = 0.0157; p = 0.7890$) and Black-backed Woodpeckers home-range size ($n = 7$). However, the median distance between the nearest old coniferous habitat patches influenced home-range size ($\beta_j = 0.2325; t$-value = 2.23; $r^2 = 0.4987; p = 0.0761$). Thus, home-range size tended to increase with distance between old coniferous habitat patches.

The home ranges of breeding woodpeckers contained more than 35 m$^3$ • ha$^{-1}$ of decayed dead wood and the mean dead-wood volume per home range was 42.4 ± 3.2 m$^3$ • ha$^{-1}$ ($n = 7$; Table 4). Dead wood at the early decay stage was abundant in home ranges, with a mean volume of 18 ± 1.4 m$^3$ • ha$^{-1}$ (Table 4). Snags represented approximately half of the volume of dead wood, the mean snag volume per home range was 19.8 ± 2.7 m$^3$ • ha$^{-1}$, of which 9.4 ± 1.4 m$^3$ • ha$^{-1}$ were snags at the early decay stage (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

This study provides new information on Black-backed Woodpeckers, which has landscape management implications for this little-studied keystone species of the boreal forest. Although the results obtained were for a relatively small sample size (eight home ranges of breeding individuals), it allowed habitat selection to be determined for breeding birds in unburned tracts of forest. This species established home ranges in areas with both open and forested habitats, but during foraging activities, patches of old coniferous forests were preferentially selected. No relationship was found between the amount of dead wood available and home-range size for successfully breeding Black-backed Woodpeckers in unburned boreal forest stands. However, the spatial distribution of the preferred foraging habitat (old conifer patches) seemed to influence the home-range size.

Home-Range Size

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to document foraging behavior and habitat use of the Black-backed Woodpecker in unburned, non-beetle-killed forests of North America. The home ranges described by Goggans et al. (1989) in beetle-killed lodgepole pine stands within commercial mature to overmature forests in Oregon, were similar to those described in the present study ($n = 7$) but slightly larger in size (mean = 174.7 ha, range = 72 - 328 ha, $n = 3$). Most locations recorded by
Fig. 3. Habitat composition (mean ± SE) of Black-backed Woodpecker home ranges (gray bars; n = 8) and randomly distributed home ranges (empty bars; n = 8). Habitat types were defined as barren, stands defoliated by windthrows and spruce budworm outbreak, young (<90 years) and old (>90 years) coniferous stands, and young (<5 years) and old (>5 years) cuts.

Goggans et al. (1989) and subsequently used to estimate home-range size were taken after the young had fledged or after failed nesting attempts. In contrast, location points in this study were recorded between hatching and fledging. A recent study on European Three-toed Woodpeckers showed that this species increases home-range size after the chicks fledge (Pechacek 2004). Because we expect Black-backed Woodpeckers to behave in a similar manner, the mean home-range area of 151.5 ha estimated in our study should be considered as the minimum area required by this species during the breeding season, and probably on an annual basis in unburned tracts of eastern boreal forest. This assumption is further supported by the larger home range (385.8 ha) of the single non-breeding individual that was followed in the study.

Habitat Selection

At the landscape scale, Black-backed Woodpeckers tended to establish their home ranges in areas with a high proportion of recent cuts (n = 8; Fig. 3). This was unexpected, as many authors have reported Black-backed Woodpecker nests to be associated with areas of high tree or snag density (Raphael and White 1984, Saab and Dudley 1998, Saab et al. 2002). However, 51% of the Black-backed Woodpecker nests (n = 35) located by Goggans et al. (1989) were in logged stands. This supports the findings of the present study, where they were often observed nesting in recent cuts (Tremblay et al., unpublished data). Most of the latter originated from CLMTP that left some large snags suitable for nesting. The study area was characterized by an interspersion of large residual forest blocks, half of which were composed of old coniferous habitat and harvested areas. Given the dominance of old conifer stands in the landscape, the major limiting factor
Table 2. Logistic regression models predicting Black-backed Woodpecker occurrence (n = 8) according to habitat type at the landscape and home-range scales (AUC = area under the ROC curve, an index of model fit).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>β_j</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>Odds ratio CI</th>
<th>AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Landscape scale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young cuts</td>
<td>0.1069</td>
<td>0.0562</td>
<td>1.113</td>
<td>0.974-1.271</td>
<td>0.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Home-range scale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old coniferous</td>
<td>0.8711</td>
<td>0.2109</td>
<td>2.390</td>
<td>1.343-4.252</td>
<td>0.717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young cuts</td>
<td>-2.2328</td>
<td>0.5658</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.035-0.327</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

influencing Black-backed Woodpecker home-range establishment may have been the availability of suitable open nesting habitat, rather than the availability of foraging habitat. This factor might explain the observed tendency toward selecting recent cuts within the landscape for home-range establishment.

At the home-range scale, Black-backed Woodpeckers foraged in old coniferous stands and avoided recent cuts. This species is known to preferentially forage on recently decayed coniferous species (Raphael and White 1984, Goggans et al. 1989, Murphy and Lenhausen 1998, Nappi et al. 2003). We found that old coniferous stands offered about 30 m³ • ha⁻¹ of dead wood at the early decay stage. By contrast, this figure dropped to < 9 m³ • ha⁻¹ in recent cuts. In our study area, Black-backed Woodpeckers were regularly observed to nest in recent cuts and travel to nearby old coniferous patches to forage. Among the individuals tracked, only one regularly foraged in a recent cut, but this contained a large number of fallen logs (J.A.T., personal observation). Black-backed Woodpeckers are known to respond opportunistically to insect outbreaks and forest fires (Bent 1939, Blackford 1955, West and Speirs 1959, Baldwin 1968, Bock and Bock 1974, Murphy and Lehnhauen 1998, Hoyt and Hannon 2002), but our results show that they can also obtain sufficient resources in undisturbed stands with relatively high volumes of dead wood to successfully breed in unburned and non-epidemic landscapes.

Dead-Wood Availability in Home Range

Pechacek (2004) observed that European Three-toed Woodpeckers adjust home-range size depending on the amount of dead wood available. However, in our study, Black-backed Woodpeckers did not adjust home range size according to the amount of dead wood or dead wood at the early decay stage (mostly available in old coniferous stands). Nevertheless, it was estimated that the home range of breeding Black-backed Woodpeckers contained more than 35 m³ • ha⁻¹ of dead wood, with an average of 42% (15 m³ • ha⁻¹) being at the early decay stage. This is a relatively large share considering that dead wood is at the early decay stage for a much shorter period of time than the older decay stages (i.e., stages 4 and 5: Vanderwel et al. 2006). Vaillancourt et al. (2008) observed that snags present a dome-shaped distribution across the decay class, with a maximum density occurring in decay class 6. Other authors have calculated minimum dead-wood values to determine the presence of woodpeckers in the landscape. For example, in Switzerland Bütler et al. (2004) found a 95% probability of presence of European Three-toed Woodpeckers in forests containing 15 m³ • ha⁻¹ of standing dead wood (snags) over 100 ha. In the same study (Bütler et al. 2004), but for Sweden, this figure dropped to 6 m³ • ha⁻¹. In deciduous forests in Poland, White-backed Woodpeckers (Dendrocopus leucotus) were found to require approximately 8 to 17 m³ • ha⁻¹ snags over 100 ha (Roberge et al. 2008).
In our study, snags alone represented approximately half the dead-wood volume, so Black-backed Woodpeckers appeared to require a similar volume of standing dead wood as the two abovementioned species. Note that in our study area, Black-backed Woodpeckers were feeding on logs 20% of the time (Tremblay et al., unpublished data), suggesting that dead logs are important resources in addition to snags. Snag and log volume should be combined to adequately present dead-wood requirements of Black-backed Woodpecker in unburned boreal forest.

No relationship was found between Black-backed Woodpecker home-range size and dead-wood volumes, but this does not mean that the volume of dead wood in the landscape did not affect home range. In fact, that home-range size increased with the median distance between the nearest old coniferous patches. Dead wood is patchily distributed, which is reflected by the high variability around the mean value obtained. Foraging individuals were also observed to repeatedly visit the same dead-wood patches, while showing no interest in other apparently similar patches within their home range (J.A.T., personal observation). It is possible that Black-backed Woodpeckers need a threshold dead-wood volume before they will forage in a given patch. When such suitable foraging patches are abundant in the landscape, home-range size would be expected to be smaller than in landscapes where they are scarce—even though the total dead-wood volume available in the two ranges may be the same. This is supported by the fact that individuals were observed to concentrate their foraging activities at specific sites while flying over apparently “uninteresting stands.” At the other extreme, when suitable foraging patches are abundant and close to each other, such as following a recent forest fire, home ranges may be as small as 6.9 ha (A. Nappi, personal communication). A better assessment of food availability for each dead-wood decay class would probably provide a more accurate parameter to explain home-range size of this species in unburned forest. However, additional data are required.
**Table 3.** Mean (SE) dead wood, snags, and fallen log volumes (m³ • ha⁻¹) of total and recently decayed stages (decay classes 1 and 2) by habitat type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat type (no. of plots)</th>
<th>All decayed stages</th>
<th>Recent decayed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dead wood</td>
<td>Snags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barren (15)</td>
<td>18.1 (4.0)</td>
<td>10.3 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old coniferous (18)</td>
<td>71.3 (11.2)</td>
<td>39.7 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young coniferous (6)</td>
<td>49.5 (14.2)</td>
<td>33.8 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young cut (17)</td>
<td>29.7 (4.4)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONCLUSION**

This study was conducted in a landscape shaped by the first phase of a mosaic harvesting approach under which approximately 50% of the available forest area was harvested, leaving interspersed logged and residual forest blocks. This configuration appears to provide suitable habitat for the two major ecological needs of Black-backed Woodpeckers: foraging and nesting. However, during the second phase of harvesting, the remaining old coniferous stands will be removed as soon as 10 years after the first phase or when the regeneration reaches 3 m if this height is not reached after 10 years (MRNF 2009). Therefore, in the medium-term, such landscapes are likely to be uninteresting for this species of woodpecker due to a reduction in food availability caused by the removal of old coniferous sites and the reduction of nesting sites caused by the rarity of suitable nesting snags in remnant stands. Even if woodpeckers persist in forest remnants (Imbeau and Desrochers 2002a), we would expect densities and breeding success to decrease.

The results of this study show that Black-backed Woodpeckers can successfully forage and breed in unburned forest that comprises a mix of habitat types, including areas managed under mosaic harvesting regime. Under such conditions, we estimate the required home range for a successful breeding pair of Black-backed Woodpeckers to be approximately 150 ha, of which roughly 56 ha must be old coniferous forest (following Fig. 3). The lowest dead-wood volume found in a home range with successful breeding was 35 m³ • ha⁻¹, where the average of dead wood at the early decay stage was 15 m³ • ha⁻¹ (42% of the mean dead-wood volume). Although further studies are needed to determine the threshold of dead-wood volume and use relative to prey availability, we suggest that a conservative management approach for Black-backed Woodpecker should target the above values, where about half of the dead-wood volume consists of standing dead wood. We agree with the suggestion of St.-Laurent et al. (2008) concerning the importance of maintaining blocks of mature closed-canopy forest in managed landscapes until regenerating stands have reached a height that reflects the structural attributes of mature forests, or through an entire logging rotation period. Because of the dependence of Black-backed Woodpeckers on recently dead wood, forest management for this species based on the above target values may be expected to benefit other saproxylic species in the boreal forest. Therefore, as suggested by Goggans et al. (1989) for mature and old-growth lodgepole pine stands in Oregon, we propose the use of Black-backed Woodpecker as an indicator species, not only in recently burned stands (Hannon and Drapeau 2005), but also in mature and overmature coniferous stands in northeastern North America.
Table 4. Mean (SE) dead wood, snags, and fallen log volumes (m³ • ha⁻¹) of total and recently decayed stages (decay classes 1 and 2) in asymptotic Black-backed Woodpecker home ranges.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Home-range size (ha)</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Recent decay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dead wood</td>
<td>Snags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.4</td>
<td>35.6 (4.1)</td>
<td>18.9 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118.5</td>
<td>41.7 (8.0)</td>
<td>16.7 (6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>42.1 (4.8)</td>
<td>11.6 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132.5</td>
<td>61.7 (30.1)</td>
<td>35.0 (26.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150.9</td>
<td>36.4 (11.1)</td>
<td>17.3 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181.6</td>
<td>41.7 (14.2)</td>
<td>15.9 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256.4</td>
<td>37.5 (9.7)</td>
<td>23.5 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>42.4 (3.2)</td>
<td>19.8 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Responses to this article can be read online at: http://www.ace-eco.org/vol4/iss1/art2/responses/

Acknowledgments:

This work was supported by the Consortium de Recherche sur la Forêt Boréale (Université du Québec à Chicoutimi; UQAC). We thank Abitibi-Bowater Inc. for their logistical support and S. Boily, C. Buidin, P. Desmeules, M. Huot, L. Morin, and Y. Rochepault for their help in the field. Finally, we thank A. Deslauriers and the members of the Laboratoire d’écologie végétale of the UQAC for their helpful comments and A.P. Coughlan and A. M.N. Garside for revising the text. Comments from M.-A. Villard, G. Pasinelli, B. Naef-Daenzer, and an anonymous reviewer greatly helped improve the manuscript. Thanks also to P.-Y. Plourde for providing Figure 1. This study respected the protocols Nos. 0564 and 0668 of the Authorized Animal Care of the UQAC.

LITERATURE CITED


Bergeron, Y., A. Leduc, B. D. Harvey, and S.


Imbeau, L., and A. Desrochers. 2002a. Area sensitivity and edge avoidance: the case of the Three-toed Woodpecker (Picoides tridactylus) in a


**McGarigal, K., S. A. Cushman, M. C. Neel, and E. Ene.** 2002. FRAGSTATS: spatial pattern analysis program for categorical maps. Computer software program produced by the authors at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts, USA. [online] URL: http://www.umass.edu/landeco/research/fragstats/fragstats.html.


