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Abstract—Physical inactivity and aging are functional disability factors for older individuals, causing loss of balance and increasing the risk of falls. The purpose of this study was to compare the balance of physically independent older individuals, both participants and non-participants in a regular exercise program. Fifty six physically independent older participants were divided into G1_{ACTIVE} = 28 individuals who participate in a regular exercise program and G2_{SEDENTARY} = 28 individuals who did not participate in any physical exercise program. All participants underwent an eyes-open during one-leg balance test on a force platform. The postural oscillation parameters included center of pressure (COP); sway mean velocity and frequency of COP oscillations. G2_{SEDENTARY} showed higher postural instability than G1_{ACTIVE}. Significant differences were observed for the main balance parameters. The results of this study support the concept that participation in regular physical activity is beneficial for postural balance of older individuals.
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Resumo—“Controle postural durante suporte unipodal em idosos ativos e sedentários.” A inatividade física e o envelhecimento são fatores de incapacidade funcional em idosos, causando perda de equilíbrio e aumentando o risco de quedas. Esta pesquisa comparou o equilíbrio postural de idosos fisicamente independentes, participantes e não participantes de um programa de exercício físico regular. Cinquenta e seis idosos fisicamente independentes foram divididos em G1_{ATIVO} (n = 28) participante de um programa de exercício físico regular, e G2_{SEDENTÁRIO} (n = 28) não inserido em programas de exercício físico. Todos os idosos foram avaliados durante a tarefa de equilíbrio, em apoio unipodal sobre uma plataforma de força, mediante os parâmetros de oscilação posturais centro de pressão (COP), oscilação da velocidade média e frequência de oscilação do COP. O G2 mostrou maior instabilidade postural que o G1. Foram observadas diferenças significativas para os principais parâmetros de equilíbrio. Os resultados levam a conclusão de que um programa de atividade física regular é benéfico para o equilíbrio postural de idosos.
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Resumen—“El control postural durante la pata de apoyo único en activo y sedentario ancianos.” La inactividad física y el envejecimiento son factores de discapacidad funcional en los ancianos, causando la pérdida de equilibrio y aumentar el riesgo de caídas. Esta investigación comparó el equilibrio postural en personas mayores físicamente independientes, que participan y que no participan en un programa de ejercicio regular. Cincuenta y seis ancianos físicamente independientes fueron divididos en G1_{ACTIVO} (n = 28) que participo en un programa de ejercicio regular, y G2_{SEDENTARIO} (n = 28) no incluido en los programas de ejercicio físico. Todos ellos fueron evaluados para la tarea de mantener el equilibrio sobre un pie, sobre una plataforma de fuerza, utilizando los parámetros del centro de balanceo postural de la presión (COP), la velocidad media y la frecuencia de oscilación. G2 mostró una mayor inestabilidad postural que el G1. No se observaron diferencias significativas en los principales parámetros de equilibrio. Los resultados llevan a la conclusión de que un programa de actividad física regular es beneficioso para el equilibrio postural en los ancianos.
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**Introduction**

Due to the aging process, the neuromuscular system undergoes physiological and morphological alterations (Jiang, Cooper, Porter, & Ready, 2004) that lead to decreased muscle performance and functional capacity. Combined with a sedentary lifestyle, these alterations reduce the functional reserve capacity of older individuals, making them physically weaker, slower and with reduced motor coordination when compared to young adults (Enoka, 1994). Postural control also deteriorates during the aging process. Intrinsic factors related to neuromuscular control, as well as extrinsic factors such as the environment may result in loss of balance and, consequently, falls. Some studies suggest that postural instability is one of the most common causes of falls among older individuals (Viswanathan & Sudarsky, 2012). Falls currently represent one of the largest public health problems (Hsiao & Simeonov, 2001; Lord, Sherrington, & Menz, 2001) and are the main causes of death among older individuals (Morley, 2007).

The maintenance of active lifestyle is recommended as an important strategy for preserving the physical aptitude of older individuals since it preserves functional capacities and reduces falls (Armstrong, Bates, Castell, & Krolik, 2002). In a pilot randomized clinical trial by Clemson et al. (2010) with a participants over 70 years old, a six-month exercise program included a set of muscle strength exercises for the lower limbs and for balance. After participation in the program, individuals showed better dynamic balance and reduction of fall rates compared to those who did not participate in the exercise program. Another study with older individuals demonstrated that there was an improvement in the one-leg stance time of those who participated in 20 sessions of balance and muscle strength exercises compared to those who did not. The authors also observed that, after six months, those who did not participate in the program presented higher rate of falls (Domínguez-Carrillo, Arelano-Aguilar, & Leos-Zierold, 2007). However, one-leg stance time represents one functional domain of measurements designed to assess physical capacity such as endurance and strength.

Balance can be quantified using direct measurements of posture control such as center of pressure (COP) parameters recorded when using a force platform during one-leg stance (Da Silva et al., 2013). This method has been valid and reliable for measuring balance COP parameters associated to biomechanical and neuromuscular mechanisms of both young and older individuals (Da Silva et al., 2013).

Results in the literature often report data from standing task conditions. However, it would be interesting to generalize some results to more challenging tasks required in daily motor activities (e.g., turning, climbing stairs, walking, dressing) when succession of two-to-one-leg standing occurs. A more challenging standing condition could predict incidence of falls, and better determine potential effects of physical exercise interventions on balance.

Based on these considerations, the main purpose of this study was to compare, using COP force platform parameters, how static balance during a one-leg stance of physically independent older individuals is affected by participation in regular physical exercise programs. Our hypothesis is that older participants who participate in regular physical activities show better postural control than non participants.

**Methods**

The study used a cross-sectional design. The sample consisted of 56 physically independent older individuals of both sexes (26 women), who were divided into two groups: an active group (G1ACTIVE) of 28 older individuals who participated in a physical exercise program, and a sedentary group (G2SEDENTARY) of 28 older individuals from the community who did not participate in the physical exercise program or had any regular supervised physical activity. The G2SEDENTARY was randomly selected from the PSF (Programa Saúde da Família – Family Health Program) files from health centers of the five regions of the city of Londrina, State of Paraná, Brazil.

The inclusion criteria were that all participants should be physically independent and over 60 years old. The exclusion criteria were: 1) presence of any mental or physical disorders that could interfere in the tests; 2) surgical procedures after locomotion impairments or injuries; 3) presence of severe musculoskeletal disorders with use of drugs and 4) fall in the last year. All participants signed an informed consent form. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the local University (no. PP/0070/09).

Only one laboratory session was necessary to carry out the experimental evaluations. Initially, all participants were familiarized with the task protocol and the equipment. The balance tests were carried out in the Laboratory of Functional Evaluation and Human Motor Performance (LAFUP). Noise was kept to a minimum, and testing was conducted by trained evaluators. Participants’ body mass and height were measured and body mass index was calculated according to recommendations by Gordon, Chumlea, and Roche (1988). The Baecke Physical Activity Questionnaire adapted for older individuals (QBMI) (Mazo, Mota, Benedetti, & Barros, 2001; Voorrips et al., 1991) was also used to classify the participants as either active (G1ACTIVE) or sedentary (G2SEDENTARY). Significant differences (p < .05) between-groups were reported for this variable to confirm the active physical level of each group (Table 1). Self-reports about active lifestyle was also registered in the ACSM. Active participants were recruited from the PROVIDA supervised exercise program at the University of North of Paraná (UNOPAR). They were enrolled in the program for at least two years. The physical exercise program routine included two 60-min sessions each week; each session was divided in 15 minutes neuromotor exercises (e.g., balance, body agility and motor coordination), 25 minutes muscle strength and 20 minutes flexibility exercises (Figure 1). The exercises were of mild to moderate intensity, and instructors used alternative material resources, such as pieces of elastic, batons, ankle weights, and 1-2 kg dumbbells. The neuromotor exercises instructions were presented in a playful manner, although instructors challenged participants to perform as many repetitions as possible in each task. Strength exercises...
included three sets of 15 reps each exercise, involving lower and upper trunk muscles and abdomen. Intensity of exercises was not controlled due to technical limitations of the fitness equipment. In the exercise of muscle resistance, loads were determined with basis on the current physical condition of each participant; beginners started without load but, after adaptation to exercise, they added a 0.5-1 kg load. Flexibility exercises were targeted to muscle groups used in the strength exercises. These were performed in two repetitions each, for 30 seconds, using the active static method.

Figure 1. Illustration of the physical exercise program for G1 ACTIVE group including two 60-min sessions each week based in exercises such as (A, D) flexibility, (B, C, E) strength of lower-limb, and (F) postural balance.
In the laboratory, both groups (G1 \text{ ACTIVE} and G2 \text{ SEDENTARY}) performed the one-leg stance balance test on a force platform (BIOMEC400, EMG System do Brasil, SP, Ltda) using their preferred leg (Da Silva \textit{et al.}, 2013; Gil \textit{et al.}, 2011; Parreira \textit{et al.}, 2013). A standardized protocol was used for the test. During all trials, participants were instructed to stand in one leg (see fig.2 for illustration), eyes open and gazing a target (black cross 14.5 x 14.5 x 4 cm) placed on a wall at eye level 2 m away, while keeping their arms at their sides or parallel to their trunk. Three trials (30 s maximum) were performed with a 30 s rest interval between each of them. The mean value of the three trials was later used for analysis (Da Silva \textit{et al.}, 2013; Gil \textit{et al.}, 2011; Parreira \textit{et al.}, 2013). Two evaluators remained close to the participants (behind and beside the individual) during all the time to prevent falls and to ensure the safety of the experiment (Figure 2).

The BIOMEC400 platform’s Bioanalysis software was used to obtain and analyze the balance parameters, which were compiled using MATLAB analysis routines (Mathworks, Natick, MA) (Da Silva \textit{et al.}, 2013; Gil \textit{et al.}, 2011; Parreira \textit{et al.}, 2013). The vertical force of the ground reaction is derived from a sample of 100 Hz data collection. All force signals recorded by the platform were filtered with a 35 Hz second-order low band pass filter (Butterworth filter) to eliminate electronic noise. The main balance parameters based on the COP were computed (the higher the values, the worse the balance): Ellipse area (95%) of the COP (A-COP in cm²), mean velocity (VEL in cm/s) and mean frequency (MF in Hz) of COP oscillations in both directions of movement: Anterior-Posterior (A/P) and Mediolateral (M/L). These balance parameters were calculated from of 4.99 s to the total duration (maximum 30 s) of the trial for each subject (Parreira \textit{et al.}, 2013). All these balance parameters were validated and reliability tests established for both older and young individuals (Da Silva \textit{et al.}, 2013).

The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, with mean and standard deviation (SD). Normal data distribution was verified with the Shapiro-Wilk test. Student’s \textit{t}-tests were used for between-group (G1 \text{ ACTIVE} and G2 \text{ SEDENTARY}) comparisons for all variables (anthropometric and balance). All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS statistical software (version 15.0 for Windows) with an alpha level of \( p < .05 \).

**Results**

The two groups were homogenous in their anthropometric characteristics such as: age, mass, height, BMI (Table 1). The active group’s mean of physical activity level was 9.95 (±8.73) points, whereas the sedentary group’s was 4.76 (±3.26). The significant differences (\( p < .01 \)) between both groups ensured the physical activity level criteria for group assignment (G1 \text{ ACTIVE} and G2 \text{ SEDENTARY}).

The COP postural balance parameters (A-COP, VEL for both directions) showed significant differences (\( p < .05 \)) between the two groups (Table 2). Mean values of COP parameters indicated better performance by the active group compared to the sedentary group, with exception of MF balance parameter in M/L direction.

**Table 1. Anthropometric and physical activity characteristics of the participants.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>G1 \text{ ACTIVE} Mean (SD)</th>
<th>G2 \text{ SEDENTARY} Mean (SD)</th>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( df )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>67 (5)</td>
<td>69 (7)</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass (Kg)</td>
<td>69(10)</td>
<td>70 (14)</td>
<td>-2.05</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.57 (0.8)</td>
<td>1.61 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ( kg/m²)</td>
<td>27 (3)</td>
<td>26 (4)</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phys. act. level</td>
<td>9.95 (8.73)</td>
<td>4.76 (3.26)</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>&lt; .01*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data are the mean with the standard deviation in parenthesis. *\( p < .01 \): significant

**BMI:** body mass index.

Physical activity level was evaluated with the Baecke questionnaire.

**Table 2. Comparison of balance between groups from platform measurements.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>G1 \text{ ACTIVE} Mean (SD)</th>
<th>G2 \text{ SEDENTARY} Mean (SD)</th>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>( df )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area-COP (cm²)</td>
<td>10.02 (3.30)</td>
<td>19.33 (7.21)</td>
<td>-2.36</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>.023*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEL-AP (cm/s)</td>
<td>3.09 (1.44)</td>
<td>5.82 (3.11)</td>
<td>-3.85</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>&lt; .01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEL-ML (cm/s)</td>
<td>4.02 (1.16)</td>
<td>5.60 (1.71)</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF - AP (Hz)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.28)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.28)</td>
<td>-2.82</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF-ML (Hz)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.22)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.35)</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data are the mean with the standard deviation in parenthesis. *\( p < .05 \): significant differences between groups (G1 \text{ ACTIVE} vs G2 \text{ SEDENTARY}).

COP: Center of Pressure VEL: Velocity of COP oscillation MF: Mean Frequency COP oscillation in both directions, Anterior-Posterior (A/P) and Mediolateral (M/L).
Discussion

Although we did not evaluate the effects of the program using a longitudinal design, our results support that regular physical activity (twice a week) is efficient for maintaining the status of balance in older individuals. In summary, the G1_ACTIVE presented higher levels of postural stability when performing one leg-stance than did the G2_SEDENTARY. The fitness level of participants was confirmed by homogeneity of sample in anthropometric measures and physical activity levels (Table 1). Such finding reduces influence of confounding effects on COP measurements.

Different from studies that evaluate balance in older individuals using a force platform (Corriveau, Hebert, Prince, & Raiche, 2000; Lafond, Corriveau, Hebert, & Prince, 2004; Lin, Seol, Nussbaum, & Madigan, 2008), our study introduces a more challenging situation for the postural control system, and its one-foot stance can be observed in functional activities such as climbing stairs (Clifford, & Holder-Powell, 2010). Even though the present study did not evaluate the effects of the physical activity program in a randomized clinical trial design, our results corroborate others studies (Alfieri et al., 2010; Orr, Raymond, & Fiatarone; Steadman, Donaldson, & Kalra, 2003). In a literature review, Howe et al. (2007) concluded that physical exercise improves postural balance in older individuals. In addition, Orr (2010) demonstrated that 54% of the clinical trials based on muscle strength training effectively improved postural balance among older individuals.

Matsudo et al. (Matsudo, Matsudo, & Barros Netto, 2001) also advocates the importance of regular physical activity programs that include lower-limb muscle strength training, flexibility and balance training for improving quality of life and reducing the neuromuscular deficits associated with aging. According to the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM), physical exercise has beneficial effects on the neuromuscular system, such as muscle mass gains, prevention of muscle strain, improvement of neuromuscular control and balance, and maintenance of functional capacities for the activities of daily living (Nelson et al., 2007).

Due to the aging process, the musculoskeletal system undergoes certain changes such as loss of neuromuscular, muscle strength and functional capacity (Jiang, Cooper, Porter, & Ready, 2004; Lord, Sherrington, & Menz, 2001). Therefore, such changes associated with physical inactivity may lead to fast deterioration of older individuals’ functional capacities (Matsudo, Matsudo, & Barros Netto, 2001). Individuals who are physically active have fewer physical limitations that impact the quality of their lives (Franchi & Montenegro, 2005).

Postural control enables appropriate contractions of the muscle groups responsible for the maintenance of balance (Ekwall, Lindberg, & Magnusson, 2009; Franchi & Montenegro, 2005). Participation in physical activity programs is essential for mitigating the natural deficits of aging (Kuhnen et al., 2004). Also, it is essential to help maintain muscular strength, endurance, and sensory motor responses in older individuals. In fact, individuals who are not engaged in physical activity program can present poor postural balance, as we found for the G2_SEDENTARY in the present study. Subsequently, poor physical qualities increase the risk for falls (Marques et al., 2011).

Postural instability is one of the most common causes for falls among older individuals (Hsiao & Simeonov, 2001; Viswanathan & Sudarsky, 2012). Falls represent one of the largest public health problems (Hsiao & Simeonov, 2001; Viswanathan & Sudarsky, 2012), and is the main cause of mortality among older individuals. Therefore, there is an increased awareness among public policymakers about the need to develop intervention and prevention methods that encourage older individuals to participate in regular physical activity.

Finally, some limitations of this study must be mentioned. Although the positive results of active participants in balance skills may be related to their long term participation in the physical activity program, a cross-sectional design would reinforce our claims about effects of physical activity intervention on balance.

In conclusion, older individuals who participated in a physical exercise program presented better balance performance than those who did not participate. Physical exercise contributed to better performance by these individuals in a one single-leg stance test. Given that postural instability is an important risk for falls and subsequent complications, older individuals should maintain continuous participation in physical activity programs.
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