

# Strength development according with age and position: a 10-year study of 570 soccer players

Robson Dias Scoz <sup>1</sup>, Bruno Mazziotti Oliveira Alves <sup>1,2</sup>,  
Ricardo Lima Burigo <sup>1</sup>, Edgar Ramos Vieira <sup>3</sup>,  
Luciano Maia Alves Ferreira <sup>4</sup>, Rubens Alexandre da Silva <sup>5</sup>,  
Rogerio Pessoto Hirata <sup>6</sup>, Cesar Ferreira Amorim <sup>1,3,4,5</sup>

**To cite:** Scoz RD, Alves BMO, Burigo RL, *et al.* Strength development according with age and position: a 10-year study of 570 soccer players. *BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine* 2021;**7**:e000927. doi:10.1136/bmjsem-2020-000927

► Additional material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2020-000927>).

Accepted 2 February 2021



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

<sup>1</sup>Universidade Cidade de Sao Paulo (UNICID), Sao Paulo, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Physical Therapy Department, Arsenal Football Club, London, UK

<sup>3</sup>Physical Therapy Department, Florida International University (FIU), Miami, Florida, USA

<sup>4</sup>KinesiLab, Instituto Piaget, Silves, Portugal

<sup>5</sup>Laboratoire de Recherche BioNR, Université du Quebec à Chicoutimi (UQAC), Saguenay, QC, Canada

<sup>6</sup>Department of Health Science and Technology, Aalborg Universitet, Aalborg, Denmark

## Correspondence to

Dr Cesar Ferreira Amorim; [cesar.amorim@unicid.edu.br](mailto:cesar.amorim@unicid.edu.br)

## ABSTRACT

**Background/aim** The purpose of this study was to compare the isokinetic peak torque profiles from the quadriceps and hamstrings muscles during concentric and eccentric contractions in elite Brazilian soccer players across different field positions and age categories. Our hypothesis was that soccer players from different field positions are subjected to different ageing-related effects on their isokinetic peak torque.

**Methods** This is a retrospective study based on professional elite-level soccer players between the years 2009 and 2019. It included 570 adult males who played for at least 5 years on first or second Brazilian divisions. Playing positions were divided as: goalkeepers, defenders, sidebacks, midfielders and forwards. Age categories were also divided as: G1 (17–20 years old), G2 (21–24 years old), G3 (25–28 years old), G4 (29–32 years old) and G5 (33 years old or more).

**Results** The results indicate a moderate effect of age ( $F(4545)=8.197$ ;  $p<0.001$ ;  $\eta^2=0.057$ ) and a small effect of playing position ( $F(4545)=2.993$ ;  $p<0.05$ ;  $\eta^2=0.021$ ) on torque of concentric extensors; mainly from midfielders and goalkeepers with 29 years or more.

**Conclusions** Soccer players from different field positions are subjected to different ageing related effects on their muscular performance during their career special attention should be given to these players to avoid reduction in physical performance.

## INTRODUCTION

Reference values for muscle strength characteristics in different athlete populations are important for coaches, athletic trainers, sports physicians, physical therapists and others health allied professionals who are responsible for the athletes' health and safe return to play.<sup>1</sup> Establishing reference values for muscle strength characteristics in soccer, allows comparison between players. Reference values may also provide a better understanding of normal variation within a given position.

## What are the new findings?

- Professional soccer players of different playing positions have different muscular strength losses with ageing.
- Midfielders and goalkeepers are more subjected to strength losses than other playing positions.
- After 29 years, the knee extension strength losses increase for goalkeepers and midfielders.

Reference values must be adjusted according to age (ref). Namely, one of the most important physical characteristics of soccer players, lower-limbs muscular strength, is usually affected by ageing, affecting the player's performance.<sup>2 3</sup>

Isokinetic peak torque (PT) assessment is one of the most common evaluation method for lower extremities muscle strength in soccer.<sup>4–7</sup> Some authors even suggest it as a diagnostic tool for sports injury prevention and rehabilitation.<sup>8–11</sup> However, little information is available about the reference values of PT in soccer players according to their age and playing position.

Therefore, the purpose of the current study was to compare the isokinetic PT of quadriceps and hamstrings, during concentric and eccentric muscle contractions in elite Brazilian soccer players across different field positions ages. Our hypothesis is that soccer players from different field positions are subjected to different ageing-related effects on their isokinetic PT.

## METHODS

This is a retrospective study based on isokinetic data and clinical records from Brazilian professional elite-level soccer players between the years 2009 and 2019. This study followed the recommendations of The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology Statement<sup>12</sup> and its design

**Table 1** Demographic and anthropometric characteristics of the sample distributed by playing position and age category; with means and SD

	Goalkeepers	Defenders	Sidebacks	Midfielders	Forwards	Overall
<b>Overall variables</b>						
Participants (n)	45	102	78	180	165	570
Dominance (right/left)	40/5	71/31	35/43	130/50	134/31	420/163
Age (years)	25.45±5.89	23.95±5.29	24.85±3.76	25.22±4.47	23.42±3.85	24.58±4.56
Height (cm)	190.36±2.47	185.85±4.09	174.58±4.69	179.29±5.91	178.44±10.50	181.70±7.80
Body mass (kg)	89.31±6.99	81.21±4.97	71.83±5.70	77.43±6.78	76.05±7.41	79.17±8.23
BMI (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	24.68±1.96	23.5±1.14	23.57±1.67	24.06±1.42	24.39±8.97	24.04±0.51
<b>G1 (17–20 years)</b>						
Participants (n)	12	40	15	38	38	143
Age (years)	19.00±0.33	19.12±0.18	19.33±0.30	19.28±0.18	19.34±0.18	19.24±1.00
Height (cm)	189.25±2.41	185.55±3.74	176.27±5.21	178.39±6.13	179.63±5.81	181.41±6.45
Body mass (kg)	82.58±3.23	80.02±4.91	70.80±5.59	73.71±5.29	74.61±5.60	76.15±6.24
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23.29±1.41	23.97±1.39	23.67±1.61	23.97±1.81	24.16±1.80	23.93±1.64
<b>G2 (21–24 years)</b>						
Participants (n)	13	16	13	49	71	162
Age (years)	22.69±0.32	21.75±0.29	22.53±0.32	22.75±0.16	21.95±0.13	22.28±1.19
Height (cm)	190.77±1.48	188.19±3.88	174.69±3.83	176.94±5.64	176.69±14.17	178.87±11.14
Body mass (kg)	86.08±4.05	83.63±4.75	70.77±3.94	75.06±5.68	74.05±6.77	76.30±5.53
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.19±1.37	23.69±2.52	24.11±1.60	23.86±1.46	24.99±3.63	24.38±5.05
<b>G3 (25–28 years)</b>						
Participants (n)	7	27	39	56	35	164
Age (years)	26.28±0.44	26.07±0.22	26.05±0.18	26.16±0.15	26.57±0.19	26.21±1.16
Height (cm)	191.29±1.25	186.00±3.66	173.69±4.15	179.98±5.66	179.09±6.70	179.77±6.89
Body mass (kg)	91.71±2.05	82.30±4.38	71.28±5.55	78.50±4.60	77.37±8.85	77.73±7.84
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23.74±1.60	23.52±1.49	23.87±1.49	24.05±1.39	23.56±1.34	23.92±1.42
<b>G4 (29–32 years)</b>						
Participants (n)	6	7	9	40	18	80
Age (years)	30.33±0.47	30.85±0.44	29.88±0.38	30.17±0.18	29.94±0.27	30.16±1.42
Height (cm)	191.17±3.65	183.86±3.67	174.78±4.81	181.12±5.95	181.06±6.71	181.39±6.65
Body mass (kg)	93.00±5.20	78.00±6.29	75.56±4.55	80.02±7.71	80.61±7.16	80.45±7.90
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.53±1.18	23.52±1.92	24.03±1.45	24.04±1.39	23.56±1.34	23.92±1.42
<b>G5 (33+ years)</b>						
Participants (n)	7	12	2	10	3	34
Age (years)	35.42±0.44	34.16±0.33	35.00±0.82	34.80±0.36	33.66±0.67	33.67±1.20
Height (cm)	190.36±2.44	184.58±5.58	178.00±14.14	183.00±2.10	181.33±2.88	184.53±5.51
Body mass (kg)	99.43±6.34	81.17±4.28	80.50±14.84	86.80±3.79	87.00±5.19	87.06±8.56
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	25.60±0.91	23.20±2.16	24.60±1.74	23.94±1.66	24.94±2.26	24.15±1.93


BMI, body mass index.

followed the recommendations of the Improving Healthcare Decisions Task Force (Professional Society for Health Economics and Outcomes Research (ISPOR) Retrospective Database Recommendations).<sup>13 14</sup> The purpose, experimental procedures, possible risks and benefits of the study were explained to the athletes, who provided a written informed consent form to confirm participation in the study. For players younger than 18 years, their parents or legal guardians were informed of

the risks and signed an informed consent before investigation enrolment.

It included 570 elite professional soccer players who had been playing for at least 5 years on first or second Brazilian divisions, training regularly one to two sessions per day, six times per week.


To be included in this study, players had to be able to fully participate in team training sessions and matches. Players who had a hamstrings or quadriceps muscle



**Figure 1** Extensor concentric peak torque of all playing positions through all five age categories.

injury in the past 3 months, a knee surgery in the past 12 months, or were under treatment from other painful musculoskeletal injuries were excluded from our sample. Players with tendon or muscle injury grade I and without symptoms at rest were allowed to participate in the study. Only players who had played in their usual positions for the last year were included in the study.

Participants were requested to eat according to their team's nutritionist diet 48 hours preceding the assessment and then refrain from eating and drinking substances other than water 1 hour before testing. All tests were carried out in January, few weeks before Brazil Regional Championships' season starts. Players were also



**Figure 2** Extensor eccentric peak torque of all playing positions through all five age categories.

instructed to refrain from strenuous activities 48 hours before testing.

For evaluation of the PT an isokinetic dynamometer (Cybex-CSMI (Computer Sports Medicine Inc), model HumacNorm 2009, Stoughton, Massachusetts, USA) with signal acquisition rate of 500Hz was used. To improve patient's understanding of the test, we used a modified 10-points Borg scale for strength effort<sup>15</sup>; and a 10 cm Visual Analogue pain Scale (VAS), where '0 cm' indicated 'no pain' and '10 cm' indicated 'worst imaginable pain'.<sup>16</sup> For data storage and processing was used a Macbook Pro Notebook (Cupertino, California, USA) equipped with Microsoft Office software package for Mac (V.2011, Redmond, Washington, USA) and SPSS (V.20.1) from IBM.

On arrival, participants were provided with appropriate explanation and demonstration of all procedures. Participants informed their playing position, as the most frequently played in the past year. Positional groupings were goalkeepers (G), defenders (D), sidebacks (S), midfielders (M) and forwards (F). Dominant leg was defined as their preferred kicking leg for a penalty kick. Anthropometric information was recorded by team's medical staff before the participants completed a standardised warm-up on the isokinetic machine.

All subjects were submitted to a testing protocol following the guidelines of American Physical Therapy Association<sup>17-20</sup> and soccer-specific studies using isokinetic machines.<sup>5 7 21</sup> The same physiotherapist, with 10 years of experience, performed all tests. The isokinetic machine was calibrated followed manufacturer's manual instructions.

Participants seated on the isokinetic machine chair with lumbar spine fully supported and hip in 85° of flexion. The knee joint axis of rotation was aligned with the axis of the arm attached to the isokinetic machine. The dominant leg was tested first. The isokinetic machine lever arm length was adjusted so the contact point (Pad) was positioned one centimetre above the lateral malleolus; allowing free ankle flexion and extension during the test. The participant executed 10 concentric repetitions of knee's extensor (Ext) and flexor (Flx) muscles at 90° per second (100° arc of motion) for familiarisation and warming up (Borg up to 5, VAS up to 1); following by a rest period of 120s. The warm-up on the isokinetic machine was chosen to improve specificity and familiarisation with the following test (ref). The participant performed five concentric repetitions of knee's Ext and Flx muscles at 60° per second during a second familiarisation and warm up session, following by another rest period of 120s. Immediately after, the subjects performed three concentric repetitions of knee's Ext and Flx muscles at 60° per second (100° arc of motion) with maximum effort (Borg 10), receiving constantly the standardised verbal encouragement: 'Faster'. The presence of pain equal or superior to 4 on VAS interrupted the test (excluding the participant from study). The repetition with higher torque value (PT) among all the three repetitions was

**Table 2** Concentric and eccentric isokinetic peak torque of knee flexors and extensors, for each playing position and age category; with normalised by body mass means and SD

	17–20 years	21–24 years	25–28 years	29–32 years	33+ years
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	Mean±SD
<b>Goalkeepers</b>					
Ext.CPT	331.69±46.16	329.98±31.48	331.33±32.37	281.73±44.55	249.83±48.04
Ext.EPT	396.90±66.88	387.20±65.64	426.22±51.76	360.60±91.56	319.04±79.60
Flx.CPT	193.00±26.01	188.09±16.36	215.01±18.64	169.12±42.77	149.44±16.03
Flx.EPT	242.45±57.30	238.73±36.32	245.22±57.04	167.12±35.37	183.64±39.61
<b>Defenders</b>					
Ext.CPT	321.36±44.61	317.88±51.26	302.90±46.46	301.73±50.34	310.59±61.12
Ext.EPT	418.65±54.23	396.36±93.67	400.85±54.08	442.16±64.73	410.36±83.27
Flx.CPT	171.34±35.00	191.97±38.47	181.51±32.20	204.19±35.97	195.62±23.26
Flx.EPT	228.47±47.59	215.45±44.10	221.35±51.42	262.23±63.25	218.96±21.05
<b>Sidebacks</b>					
Ext.CPT	355.02±40.49	315.14±42.81	312.43±57.51	297.35±54.51	310.88±37.13
Ext.EPT	426.07±64.50	359.70±49.90	382.21±77.19	367.98±70.80	306.48±02.94
Flx.CPT	188.54±25.81	166.36±34.68	177.64±41.09	192.85±35.85	218.51±12.19
Flx.EPT	228.52±36.49	219.29±32.54	202.01±54.62	203.12±59.84	204.01±03.64
<b>Midfielders</b>					
Ext.CPT	317.62±40.38	320.81±54.98	308.62±43.09	262.95±64.41	187.23±40.17
Ext.EPT	375.32±77.84	394.49±78.06	385.25±59.21	344.04±87.48	240.69±51.46
Flx.CPT	176.41±30.89	183.75±26.04	168.65±29.80	169.37±33.24	177.04±23.78
Flx.EPT	224.60±45.41	211.54±43.89	210.29±38.58	206.67±38.04	185.44±36.55
<b>Forwards</b>					
Ext.CPT	318.15±44.45	315.55±38.98	297.03±40.13	286.77±50.23	280.78±54.51
Ext.EPT	389.30±78.51	367.45±59.58	382.24±48.90	361.53±54.16	365.51±41.11
Flx.CPT	175.18±34.29	188.13±31.24	191.80±32.28	182.53±23.97	186.54±32.67
Flx.EPT	217.07±47.78	208.37±38.60	217.40±36.29	195.80±41.17	179.55±20.33

Ext.CPT, Extensor Concentric Peak Torque; Ext.EPT, Extensor Eccentric Peak Torque; Flx.CPT, Flexor Concentric Peak Torque; Flx.EPT, Flexor Eccentric Peak Torque.

used for statistical analysis. The eccentric testing was performed at 60° per second (100° arc of motion). The subject executed five repetitions of warm-up and familiarisation followed by three repetitions at maximum effort (Borg 10), constantly receiving the standardised verbal encouragement: 'Hold it'. The presence of pain equal or superior to 4 on VAS interrupted the test, excluding the participant from the study. Between each set of exercises, subjects had 90s to rest. Between each limb's test, subjects had 120s to rest.

Demographic data as age, age category, height, body mass, dominance, field playing position (position) were recorded for descriptive analysis (mean±SD). Position was divided as: goalkeepers, defenders, sidebacks, midfielders and forwards. Age categories were also divided as: G1 (17–20 years old), G2 (21–24 years old), G3 (25–28 years old), G4 (29–32 years old) and G5 (33 years old or more).

Concentric peak torque (CPT) and eccentric peak torque (EPT) of right and left knee Ext and Flx were extracted from the isokinetic machine in Newtons

(N/m). From these data, variables were organised as means of right and left leg in: Ext.CPT and Ext.EPT, Flx.CPT, Flx.EPT. All data were normalised by body mass in kilograms.

Data's normality was confirmed using visual inspection (Q-Q plots) and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests. Homogeneity of variance was assessed via Levene's Test. Data with normal distribution were subjected to a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Data with non-normal distribution were subjected to Kruskal-Wallis analysis. Post hoc analysis used Bonferroni test, adjusted for multiple comparisons for non-normalised data. Size effects was measured through between subjects partial-eta square ( $\eta^2$ ). The magnitude was categorised as small (0.01), moderate (0.06) and large (0.14), respectively.<sup>22 23</sup> All data were processed using a SPSS V.20 (IBM) with statistical significance set at alpha level  $p=0.05$ .

**Table 3** Inferential analysis of statistically significant differences in peak torque for each playing position and age category

Age categ. comparisons	Mean difference Ext.CPT	SE	Significance (p<0.05)	95% CI
<b>Goalkeepers</b>				
G1–G5	-0.68	0.21	132	-1285 to -0091
G2–G5	-0.67	0.21	144	-1264 to -0086
G3–G5	-0.68	0.24	423	-1361 to -0012
<b>Sidebacks</b>				
G1–G3	0.39	0.13	42	0008 to 0.77
G1–G4	0.53	0.18	45	0004 to 1.06
<b>Midfielders</b>				
G1–G4	0.39	0.10	1	0104 to 0689
G1–G5	0.79	0.26	32	0038 to 1548
G2–G4	0.46	0.09	0	0191 to 0745
G2–G5	0.86	0.26	12	0115 to 1613
G3–G4	0.31	0.09	11	0043 to 0581
G3–G5	0.70	0.26	77	-0027 to 1454
<b>Forwards</b>				
G2–G4	0.31	0.11	0.08	-0.019 to 0646
Age categ. comparisons	Mean difference Flx.EPT	SE	Significance (p<0.05)	95% CI
<b>Goalkeepers</b>				
G1–G4	0.74	0.23	13	0096 to 1403
G2–G4	0.71	0.22	17	0077 to 1348
G3–G4	0.71	0.22	17	0077 to 1348
G3–G5	0.70	0.24	40	0028 to 1394

Ext.CPT, Extensor Concentric Peak Torque; Flx.EPT, Flexor Eccentric Peak Torque.

### Patient and public involvement

Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.


### RESULTS

Demographic and anthropometric characteristics for all participants are provided in [table 1](#). After applying inclusion and exclusion criteria, a sample of 1083 screened candidates were reduced to 570 healthy, male, elite-level, professional soccer players.


Results of the Kruskal-Wallis analysis revealed that only Forwards and Midfielders do not have significant differences in height ( $X^2(4)=233.21$ ;  $p>0.05$ ), when compared with other playing positions. Also, Goalkeepers from G3, G4 and G5 have significant differences (heavier) in body mass ( $X^2(4)=233.21$ ;  $p<0.001$ ), when compared with other age categories.

[Table 2](#) shows mean values of normalised knee Ext and Flx, from concentric and eccentric contractions of each age category and playing position. The statistically significant results from inferential analysis are presented in [table 3](#). The two-way ANOVA analysis indicates a moderate effect of age ( $F(4545)=8.197$ ;  $p<0.001$ ;  $\eta^2=0.057$ ) and a small effect of playing position ( $F(4545)=2.993$ ;  $p<0.05$ ;  $\eta^2=0.021$ ) on Ext.CPT. [Figures 1–4](#) show the changing in PT of each playing position through all five age categories.

The Bonferroni post hoc analysis indicates that goalkeepers of G5 have a Ext.CPT statistically different from goalkeepers of G3 (95% CI -1.359 to -0.014;  $p<0.05$ ), G2 (95% CI -1.263 to -0.083;  $p<0.05$ ) and G1 (95% CI -1.284 to -0.087;  $p<0.05$ ). Midfielders of G5 have a Ext.



**Figure 3** Flexor concentric peak torque of all playing positions through all five age categories.



**Figure 4** Flexor eccentric peak torque of all playing positions through all five age categories.

CPT statistically different from midfielders of G2 (95% CI  $-1.263$  to  $-0.083$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ) and G1 (95% CI  $-1.284$  to  $-0.087$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ). Midfielders of G4 also have a Ext.CPT statistically different from midfielders of G3 (95% CI  $-0.581$  to  $-0.043$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ), G2 (95% CI  $-0.745$  to  $-0.191$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ) and G1 (95% CI  $-0.689$  to  $-0.104$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ).

## DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to compare isokinetic PT profiles of quadriceps and hamstrings, from concentric and eccentric muscle contractions in elite Brazilian soccer players across different field positions and through all age categories. Our hypothesis is that soccer players from different field positions are subjected to different ageing-related effects on their muscular performance.

Some scientific studies have analysed the effects of ageing on the physical performance markers of professional-elite soccer players.<sup>2 3 24-34</sup> However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no study that analysed the effects of ageing on isokinetic strength values of soccer players according to their playing positions on the field. The closest to this subject was the study by Slimani and Nikolaidis<sup>31</sup> which made a systematic review of anthropometric and physiological characteristics of male soccer players according to their competitive level, playing position and age group. However, they mentioned only three studies with limited comparative isokinetic or strength data. Therefore, we believe this 10-year retrospective research, with a sample of 570 elite, healthy, professional male soccer players, was the first to be made.

Two studies<sup>2 3</sup> with 14546 professional soccer players of the German league (Bundesliga) and 10739 from Spanish league (La Liga) have similarities with our study design. However, there was no measurement of PT of those players, evaluating instead their movement during matches and calculating the number of fast runs, total

distance travelled and number of sprints. Similar to our findings, they report a decrease in player's physical performance after 30 years old when compared with players from younger age categories. The performance reduction in fast runs and sprints was most notably in midfielders. Our results indicate a significant reduction in quadriceps strength of midfielders with 29 years old or more; when compared with younger players. As quadriceps is an important muscle to running and sprinting activity, this ageing-related weakness may contribute to decrease in performance as running and jumping are associated with muscle strength. Nonetheless, the aforementioned studies used a different classification of playing positions; subdividing midfielders in two subcategories: central midfielders and wide midfielders. The last, could be confound with the Brazilian definition of Forward, used in our categorisation procedure.

Muscular weakness is related to muscle injury risk in soccer.<sup>35</sup> This is one of the reasons why isokinetic testing is largely used for screening injuries in professional soccer.<sup>8 36</sup> Our results showed reduction in quadriceps strength of midfielders and goalkeepers during the advancing in age categories. Another study<sup>34</sup> evaluated muscles injuries on 64 professional soccer players, divided in two age groups: players younger and older than 23 years. No significant differences of the age groups were found in terms of the number of muscle injuries when it comes to the quadriceps, the hamstrings. However, since their small sample size and no distinction between playing positions, comparisons with our results are, at least, difficult to make. According to a study developed by Schuth and collaborators,<sup>24</sup> positional interchanges influence physical and technical performance variables of soccer players, so its performance could be wrongly underestimated by a recent change in playing position.

## CONCLUSIONS

Isokinetic PT of knee Ext, from concentric muscle contractions, has a small difference between playing positions, and a moderate effect of age in healthy professional elite-level Brazilian soccer players. Midfielders and goalkeepers are the playing positions more affected by ageing, with significant reduction of strength after 29 years when compared with younger player categories.

## LIMITATIONS

Unfortunately, standardisation of playing positions between different soccer leagues is difficult, as sidebacks could be considered defenders, or forwards could be divided in strikers and forwards. Future researchers may correlate these findings with their isokinetic data and regional soccer culture to use as references or guidelines.

## PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

These results suggest that a special attention should be applied to midfielders and goalkeepers concentric extension strength after 29 years old; to avoid physical and

match performance reduction and to optimise muscle injury risk prevention.

**Twitter** Edgar Ramos Vieira @Edgar47414998

**Acknowledgements** We declare that all authors were fully involved in the study and preparation of the manuscript and that the material within has not been and will not be submitted for publication elsewhere.

**Contributors** Conceptualisation: RS, RB. Study design: RS, RB. Data collection and extraction: RB, LMAF and BMOA. Data management: RB and LMAF. Data analysis: RAdS and EV. Supervision: CFA. Writing-original Draft: RS, RB and LMAF. Writing-review and editing: RH.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent for publication** Not required.

**Ethics approval** The study was carried out according to the Declaration of Helsinki, following the Ethical Standards in Sports and Exercise Science Research. A protocol was fully approved by the University Human Research Ethics Committee of our university with number #3 652 668.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** No data are available. Participants data were not allowed to be shared as it contain renowned professional athletes and different soccer teams enrolled.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

#### ORCID iDs

Robson Dias Scoz <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2500-5388>  
 Bruno Mazziotti Oliveira Alves <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0108-7908>  
 Ricardo Lima Burigo <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7358-923X>  
 Edgar Ramos Vieira <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1011-5077>  
 Luciano Maia Alves Ferreira <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7536-9193>  
 Rubens Alexandre da Silva <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6879-436X>  
 Rogerio Pessoto Hirata <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9943-2713>  
 Cesar Ferreira Amorim <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0137-5659>

#### REFERENCES

- Risberg MA, Steffen K, Nilstad A, *et al.* Normative quadriceps and hamstring muscle strength values for female, healthy, elite Handball and football players. *J Strength Cond Res* 2018;32:2314–23.
- Rey E, Costa PB, Corredoira FJ, *et al.* Effects of age on physical match performance in professional soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res* 2019; Publish Ahead of Print.
- Sal de Rellán-Guerra A, Rey E, Kalén A, *et al.* Age-related physical and technical match performance changes in elite soccer players. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2019;29:1421–7.
- Rahnama N, Lees A, Bambaecchi E. Comparison of muscle strength and flexibility between the preferred and non-preferred leg in English soccer players. *Ergonomics* 2005;48:1568–75.
- Houweling TAW, Head A, Hamzeh MA. Validity of isokinetic testing for previous hamstring injury detection in soccer players. *Isokinet Exerc Sci* 2009;17:213–20.
- Paul DJ, Nassiss GP. Testing strength and power in soccer players: the application of conventional and traditional methods of assessment. *J Strength Cond Res* 2015;29:1748–58.
- Manou V, Arseniou P, Gerodimos V, *et al.* Test-retest reliability of an isokinetic muscle endurance test. *Isokinet Exerc Sci* 2002;10:177–81.
- Ribeiro-Alvares JB, Dornelles MP, Fritsch CG, *et al.* Prevalence of hamstring strain injury risk factors in professional and Under-20 male football (soccer) players. *J Sport Rehabil* 2020;29:339–45.
- Powell C, Jensen J, Johnson S. Functional performance measures used for Return-to-Sport criteria in youth following lower-extremity injury. *J Sport Rehabil* 2018;27:581–90.
- Scoz RD, Amorim CF, Mazziotti BOA, *et al.* Diagnostic validity of an isokinetic testing to identify partial anterior cruciate ligament injuries. *J Sport Rehabil* 2020;29:1–7.
- Burigo RL, Scoz RD, Alves BMdeO, *et al.* Concentric and eccentric isokinetic hamstring injury risk among 582 professional elite soccer players: a 10-years retrospective cohort study. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med* 2020;6:e000868.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, *et al.* The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet* 2007;370:1453–7. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61602-X
- Motheral B, Brooks J, Clark MA, *et al.* A checklist for retrospective database studies--report of the ISPOR Task Force on Retrospective Databases. *Value Health* 2003;6:90–7.
- Harriss DJ, MacSween A, Atkinson G. Ethical standards in sport and exercise science research: 2020 update. *Int J Sports Med* 2019;40:813–7.
- Pincivero DM, Coelho AJ, Campy RM. Gender differences in perceived exertion during fatiguing knee extensions. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2004;36:109–17.
- Robertson RJ, Goss FL, Metz KF. Perception of physical exertion during dynamic exercise: a tribute to Professor Gunnar A. V. Borg. *Percept Mot Skills* 1998;86:183–91.
- Logerstedt DS, Snyder-Mackler L, Ritter RC, *et al.* Knee pain and mobility impairments: meniscal and articular cartilage lesions. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 2010;40:A1–597.
- Ahtiainen JP, Pakarinen A, Alen M, *et al.* Short vs. long rest period between the sets in hypertrophic resistance training: influence on muscle strength, size, and hormonal adaptations in trained men. *J Strength Cond Res* 2005;19:572–82.
- Kannus P. Isokinetic evaluation of muscular performance: implications for muscle testing and rehabilitation. *Int J Sports Med* 1994;15:S11–18.
- Osternig LR. Isokinetic dynamometry: implications for muscle testing and rehabilitation. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 1986;14:45–80.
- Amaral GM, Marinho HVR, Ocarino JM, *et al.* Muscular performance characterization in athletes: a new perspective on isokinetic variables. *Braz J Phys Ther* 2014;18:521–9.
- Espirito-Santo H, Daniel F. Calcular E apresentar tamanhos do efeito em trabalhos científicos (3): Guia para reportar os tamanhos do efeito para análises de regressão E ANOVAs. calculating and reporting effect sizes on scientific papers (3): guide to report regression models and ANOVA effect sizes. *Revista Portuguesa de Investigação Comportamental e Social* 2018;4:43–60. doi:10.31211/rpics.2018.4.1.72
- Lakens D. Calculating and reporting effect sizes to facilitate cumulative science: a practical primer for t-tests and ANOVAs. *Front Psychol* 2013;4:863.
- Schuth G, Carr G, Barnes C, *et al.* Positional interchanges influence the physical and technical match performance variables of elite soccer players. *J Sports Sci* 2016;34:501–8.
- Brent JL, Myer GD, Ford KR, *et al.* The effect of sex and age on isokinetic hip-abduction torques. *J Sport Rehabil* 2013;22:41–6.
- Deprez D, Franssen J, Boone J, *et al.* Characteristics of high-level youth soccer players: variation by playing position. *J Sports Sci* 2015;33:243–54.
- Romann M, Fuchslocher J. Relative age effects in Swiss junior soccer and their relationship with playing position. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2013;13:356–63.
- Al Haddad H, Simpson BM, Buchheit M, *et al.* Peak match speed and maximal sprinting speed in young soccer players: effect of age and playing position. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2015;10:888–96.
- Towilson C, Cogley S, Midgley AW, *et al.* Relative age, maturation and physical biases on position allocation in Elite-Youth soccer. *Int J Sports Med* 2017;38:201–9.
- Brustio PR, Lupo C, Ungureanu AN, *et al.* The relative age effect is larger in Italian soccer top-level youth categories and smaller in Serie a. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0196253.
- Slimani M, Nikolaidis PT. Anthropometric and physiological characteristics of male soccer players according to their competitive level, playing position and age group: a systematic review. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 2019;59:141–63.
- Hannon JP, Wang-Price S, Garrison JC, *et al.* Normalized hip and knee strength in two age groups of adolescent female soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res* 2019. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000003420. [Epub ahead of print: 20 Dec 2019].
- Rey E, Costa PB, Corredoira FJ, *et al.* Effects of age on physical match performance in professional soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res* 2019
- Svensson K, Alricsson M, Karneback G, *et al.* Muscle injuries of the lower extremity: a comparison between young and old male elite soccer players. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2016;24:2293–9.



- 35 Dauty M, Menu P, Fouasson-Chailloux A, *et al.* Prediction of hamstring injury in professional soccer players by isokinetic measurements. *Muscles Ligaments Tendons J* 2016;6:116–23.
- 36 Wik EH, Auliffe SM, Read PJ. Examination of physical characteristics and positional differences in professional soccer players in Qatar. *Sports* 2018;7. doi:10.3390/sports7010009. [Epub ahead of print: 31 Dec 2018].