



Association between Objective and Subjective Sleep Parameters with Postural Control Responses among Brazilian Schoolteachers

Daiane Soares de Almeida Ciquinato¹ Luciana Lozza de Moraes Marchiori^{1,2} Jessica Aparecida Bazoni¹ Arthur Eumann Mesas³ Rubens Alexandre da Silva^{1,4,5}

- ¹Health Science Department, Doctoral and Master's Programs in Rehabilitation Sciences at UEL/UNOPAR, Pitagoras University-UNOPAR, Londrina, Parana, Brazil
- ² Health Department, Interdisciplinary Laboratory for Intervention in Health Promotion, Cesumar University (Unicesumar), Maringa, Parana, Brazil
- ³ Public Health Department, Postgraduated Program in Public Health, State University of Londrina (UEL), Londrina, Parana, Brazil
- ⁴Department of Health Sciences, Centre intersectoriel en santé durable, Laboratoire de recherche BioNR, Université du Québec à Chicoutimi (UQAC), Cupht, Saguenay, Québec, Canada

Address for correspondence Daiane Soares de Almeida Ciquinato, Physical Education Teacher, Doctor in Rehabilitation Sciences, Doctoral and Master's Programs in Rehabilitation Sciences at UEL/UNOPAR, Pitagoras University-UNOPAR, Londrina, Parana, Brazil (e-mail: ciquinato19@gmail.com; daiane.ciquinato@bol.com.br).

⁵ Specialized Geriatric Services, Hôpital de La Baie, Research Centre on Health, CIUSSS du Saguenay-Lac-Saint-Jean, Chicoutimi, Quebec, Canada

Sleep Sci 2023;16:131-138.

Abstract

Objective To investigate the impact of sleep quality on postural control in teachers. **Methods** Cross-sectional study with 41 schoolteachers (mean age 45.7 ± 10.4 years). Sleep quality was assessed in two ways: objectively (through actigraphy), and subjectively (through the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index). Postural control was assessed in an upright posture during 3 trials of 30s (bipedal and semitandem stances in rigid and foam surfaces with eyes open) with a period of rest across trials, on a force platform, based in the center of pressure measurements in the anteroposterior and mediolateral directions.

Results The prevalence of poor sleep quality in this study sample was 53.7% (n = 22). No differences were found between Poor and Good sleep in the posturographic parameters (p > 0.05). Although, there was moderate correlation between postural control in the semitandem stance and subjective sleep efficiency for center of pressure area (rs = -0.424; p = 0.006) and amplitude in anteroposterior direction (rs = -0.386; p = 0.013).

Discussion There is correlation between poor sleep quality and postural control in schoolteachers, as sleep efficiency decreases, postural sway increases. Poor sleep quality and postural control were investigated in other populations, but not in teachers. Several factors such as work overload, insufficient time for physical activities, among others, can contribute to a worse perception of sleep quality, as well as deterioration in postural control. Further studies with larger populations are needed to confirm these findings.

Keywords

- postural balance
- ► sleep
- school teachers

DOI https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0043-1770800. ISSN 1984-0659.

© 2023. Brazilian Sleep Association. All rights reserved. This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonDerivative-NonCommercial-License, permitting copying and reproduction so long as the original work is given appropriate credit. Contents may not be used for commercial purposes, or adapted, remixed, transformed or built upon. (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Thieme Revinter Publicações Ltda., Rua do Matoso 170, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, CEP 20270-135, Brazil

Introduction

Schoolteaching is an occupation associated with physical and mental health conditions (e.g., back and neck musculoskeletal pain, stress and anxiety)^{1–3} that impact their professional performance.² In the last years, some studies have reported that occupational risk factors are responsible for causing or worsening sleep disorders.^{4–6} These risk factors are related to educational reforms, changes in the teachers' work process,⁶ excessive bureaucracy, and difficulties coping with daily pressures and challenges because of difficult social contexts and overwork.⁵

Sleep-related problems occur worldwide. About 70 million Americans suffer from chronic sleep disorders. Sleeplessness is also associated with chronic diseases, such as hypertension, type 2 diabetes, mental illnesses, and poor quality of life and well-being. In Brazil, the prevalence of sleep disorders ranges from 32% to 46.7%. Regarding schoolteachers, a Brazilian study evaluated 959 of these professionals and found among them a 52.3% prevalence of poor sleep quality. Description

Moreover, poor sleep quality can influence postural control in adults. 11,12 Balance is provided by the postural control system, which integrates the three sensorimotor subsystems - visual, proprioceptive, and vestibular -, in combination with the central nervous system (CNS), which processes, integrates, plans, and generates motor responses of adequate postural adjustment through the neuromuscular system. 13 Individuals with poor sleep quality have reportedly shown increased postural sway in upright postures. 12,14 Poor sleep quality results from the association of one or more factors that affects wakefulness, information integration, reasoning, and motor control, including postural control. 11 This relationship has already been studied in healthy adults, 11 cadet pilots, 15 and older adults, 16 but not in teachers. In addition, the social welfare reform approved in Brazil last year 17 increased the age and time of retirement contribution. Hence, increasingly more older teachers will keep on working, so both the effects of sleep quality and postural control should be evaluated in this population.

Given its complexity, the interrelationship between sleep and postural control information integration in the CNS – including sleep quality and postural control in teachers – needs to be better understood. Thus, the objective of this study was to investigate the impact of objectively and subjectively measured sleep quality on postural control responses in schoolteachers. This research is based on the hypothesis that individuals with objectively and subjectively measured poor sleep quality may also present worse balance assessment results.

Material and Methods

This was a cross-sectional study, with data collected from August 2014 to March 2015. It is part of the second phase of a research project entitled PRÓ-MESTRE – Health, Lifestyle, and Work of Public-School teachers of Londrina, which aimed to evaluate health, lifestyle and work aspects of public-school-teachers¹⁸. The Human Research Ethics Committee of the

State University of State University of Londrina (protocol no. 33857114.4.0000.5231) approved the project. All patients were then informed about the objectives and procedures to be performed and signed an informed consent form.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: middle or high school teachers, responsible for a school subject, who had been actively teaching for more than 12 months, and who had not taken more than 30-day leave from work in the previous 12 months. Exclusion criteria were as follows: having physical and/or sensory limitations - such as inability to understand and respond to simple verbal commands and/or take requested stances - that hindered dizziness verification and balance test performance; having severely impaired visual and/or hearing acuity; being unable to perform activities of daily living; having orthopedic disorders, limited movements, or lower limb prostheses; self-reported central vestibular dysfunction; self-reported consumption of alcohol 24 hour before the evaluation, or of drugs with an effect on the central nervous system (such as tranquilizers and antidepressants) or vestibular system 48 hour before the evaluation; having undergone vestibular rehabilitation after medical discharge.

The sample size was based on two published articles with similar methodology, which evaluated sleep quality and postural control in 20^{12} and 30^{11} healthy adults. Hence, it included 41 teachers that completed the sleep quality and postural control assessments. The sample's statistical power was calculated from a post hoc test with GPower 3.1.7 software, using A-COP (area of the center of pressure) mean values and standard deviation in semitandem stance and considering the difference between the mean rigid and foam surface values for G1 ($n\!=\!19$ participants; $2.2\pm1.6\,\mathrm{cm}^2$) and G2 ($n\!=\!22$ participants; $4\pm3.5\,\mathrm{cm}^2$). This study's sample size is like that of previous studies, with similar experimental designs previously published by other authors (references 11 and 12).

Clinical Information

The patients' clinical information necessary for the research was obtained with a routine audiological assessment conducted at the Department of Audiology at the Speech-Language-Hearing Clinic, (Pitagoras-UNOPAR), based on Miller's medical history protocol, encompassing questions on age, gender, dizziness, tinnitus, and so forth. 19 Some questions specifically investigated whether the sensation of dizziness was present, in which ear, how often, time of symptom onset, and type of dizziness. The Brazilian version of the Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI)²⁰ was applied to those who reported dizziness. The DHI is a 25-item selfassessment scale designed to quantify the functional, emotional, and physical effects of dizziness and unsteadiness.²¹ The Brazilian version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire – short version (IPAQ)²² was applied to assess the participants' level of physical activity, which was classified as low, moderate, or high, according to reference ²³.

Sleep Quality Assessment: Actigraphy

Participants wore an Actiwatch 2TM (Respironics Inc., Phillips) device on the wrist for 7 consecutive days and filled out

a daily sleep diary. They received both oral and written information on how to use it. They were asked to press the event marker button when they turned off the lights to sleep. Actiwatch 2 was configured to collect data in 15-second logging intervals. Data were downloaded with Actiware software (version 6.0.5, Philips/Respironics). Sleep parameters were obtained according to Actiware predefined algorithms and supplemented by the event marker.²⁴ The sleep parameters extracted for this study were the length of time it took until sleep onset (sleep latency [LAT]), total sleep time (TST), total time in bed (TIB), and sleep efficiency – which was calculated by dividing TST by the number of minutes in the rest interval.²⁴ To calculate sleep efficiency, the software includes data on TIB, TST, and post-sleep onset waking.²⁴ Only nighttime sleep parameters were considered for the present study.

Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI)

Sleep quality assessment was obtained from interviews with the participating teachers, using the Brazilian version of the PSQI^{25,26}—whose questionnaire is widely used in the literature. ^{27,28} The instrument comprises 19 self-report items, whose sum ranges from 0 to 21—the higher the score, the worse the sleep quality. Total scores \leq 5 were associated with good sleep quality, and those > 5, with poor sleep quality. ^{26,27} Besides categorical variables, continuous ones reported in the questionnaire were also extracted: Self-reported LAT – i.e., the time the person reported they take to fall asleep; TIB – the time the person stays in bed; self-reported TST – the time the individual reported having slept; sleep efficiency, given in percentage from dividing TST by TIB times 100, with due adjustments for TIB as proposed by Buysse (2005) – all variables were calculated according to Buysse's guidelines. ²⁹

Postural Control Assessment

Postural control was assessed with vertical ground reaction force on a force platform (BIOMEC400, EMG System do Brasil, Ltda, SP), at 100 Hz. All force signals were filtered with a 35-Hz low-pass second-order Butterworth filter and converted into COP data using MATLAB routines (The MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA).³⁰ The following data on postural control parameters were extracted: 95% confidence elliptical area of the center of pressure (A-COP cm²); velocity of COP (VEL) in both directions of movement (anteroposterior [AP] and mediolateral [ML]; -cm/s)³¹; total displacement (D-TOTAL; cm) and amplitude of displacement (AMP; cm) in both directions. The data were analyzed as described in references ³² and ³³.

All participants took time familiarizing themselves with the equipment and protocol until they felt comfortable with the test. Balance was assessed with a standardized protocol: barefoot, arms by their sides (BP)³⁴; also, semitandem stance (ST) on the platform with the front heel 2.5 cm away from the back hallux.³⁵ The stances (BP and ST) were assessed on a rigid and then a foam surface.

The test was performed with an eyes-open experimental protocol, requesting individuals to fix their eyes on a target (black cross, $14.5 \, \text{cm}$ high \times $14.5 \, \text{cm}$ wide \times $4 \, \text{cm}$ thick) on the wall, placed 2 m away at eye level. Three 30-second trials were performed, with 30-second rests in between

them.³² The mean of the three measurements was used for subsequent analysis,³⁵ which was based on the difference between the means obtained on the foam and rigid surfaces, for both BP and ST stances.

Statistical Analysis

The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 20.0 (SPSS, UK) was used for statistical data analysis; the 95% CI and 5% significance level (p < 0.05) were used in all tests. The parametric distribution of the data was verified with the Shapiro-Wilk test; without the assumption of normality, the Mann-Whitney test was used for continuous variables. The Spearman correlation test was performed to analyze the correlation between COP variables and sleep parameters. The Spearman correlations were classified as follows: correlations below 0.4 were considered weak, and between 0.4 and 0.5 were considered moderate. The Chi-square test was used to analyze the association between categorical variables.

Results

In total, 50 teachers who attended the assessments were evaluated, of which 1 was excluded for self-reported alcohol consumption 24 hours before the assessment, 1 for severely reduced visual acuity (awaiting corneal transplant) and 7 for having been away from work for more time greater than 30 days in the previous year. Thus, 41 teachers were included for analysis.

The teachers had a mean age of 45.7 ± 10.4 years were assessed; 70.7% (n = 29) were females, and 29.3% (n = 12) were males. The PSQI classified 53.7% (n = 22) with "poor sleep quality"; 26.8% (n = 11) reported dizziness. Sleep efficiency was measured as 87.3% (28.5) assessed by the PSQI and 88.3% (5.5) assessed by Actigraphy. The sample's descriptive data are shown in **-Table 1**.

There were no significant differences between the Poor-Sleep and Good-Sleep Groups and COP variables (Mann-Whitney test; p > 0.05; **Table 2**) in BP and ST stances. Likewise, there were no significant differences in the other continuous variables (age, weight, height, BMI) (p > 0.05). The Chi-square test did not show any association between categorical variables (aural fullness, dizziness, tinnitus, diabetes, hypertension, hearing loss, neck pain, and physical activity), as demonstrated in **Table 2**.

There was a moderate correlation between sleep efficiency measured with PSQI and postural control based on A-COP ($r_s = -0.424$; p = 0.006; **Table 3**) in ST stance, and between sleep efficiency measured with PSQI and AMP-AP ($r_s = -0.386$; p = 0.013). There were no other significant correlations.

Subgroups were analyzed regarding gender, age groups, dizziness, aural fullness, tinnitus, diabetes, hypertension, hearing loss, neck pain, and physical activity. However, no associations were found.

Discussion

This study aimed to assess the impact of objectively and subjectively measured sleep quality on postural control

Table 1 Sample's descriptive data (N = 41).

N = 29 (70.7%)
N = 12 (29.3%)
68.5 [20.2] ^a
1.63 [0.1]
25.4 [4.8]
N = 18 (43.9%)
N = 23 (56.1%)
45.7 ± 10.4
40 [15]
20 [17]
N=4 (9.8%)
N = 37 (90.2%)
N = 11 (26.8%)
N = 30 (73.2%)
N=6 (14.6%)
N = 35 (85.4%)
N = 2 (4.9%)
N = 39 (95.1%)
N = 9 (22%)
N = 32 (78%)
N = 7 (17.1%)
N = 34 (82.9%)
,
N = 16 (39%)
N = 25 (61%)
, ,
N = 23 (55.6%)
N = 18 (44.4%)
13 (
N = 19 (46.3%)
N = 22 (53.7%)
15 [25]
395 [90]
1 222 201
360 [60] 87.3 [28.5]

Table 1 (Continued)

General Characteristics	N (%)
Sleep quality parameters (Actigraphy)	
LAT (min)	7.8 [10.1]
TIB (min)	414.5 [78.4]
TST (min)	366.4 [80.3]
Sleep efficiency (%)	88.3 [5.5]

Abbreviations: BMI, Body Mass Index; IPAQ, International Physical Activity Questionnaire; LAT, Sleep Latency; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; TIB, Total Time in Bed; TST, Total Sleep Time.

amedian and interquartile range.

responses in schoolteachers. Poor sleep quality occurred more often in this study (54%), but there were no differences between the groups. However, a correlation was found between subjective sleep efficiency and A-COP and AMP-AP, demonstrating that as sleep efficiency decreases, postural sway increases. Previous studies found similar results in acute sleep deprivation (24, 36, or more hours without sleep). This study, as well as Furtado et al. and Montesinos et al., 2 reported effects of chronic sleep deprivation, which can harm to these professionals' health.

The data in this study partially agrees with Furtado et al. (2016), 11 who experimentally assessed the effects of decreased quality of sleep on postural control in 30 healthy adults (18 to 29 years old) with actigraphy, PSQI, and static and dynamic posturography. The authors found a difference in stability with eyes closed, while with eyes open it was demonstrated that chronic poor sleep quality negatively affects postural control. On the other hand, the results of this research agree with previous studies that found an association between COP parameters and sleep quality mainly in AP direction, due to acute sleep deprivation⁴⁰ rather than day-by-day poor sleep quality. 12 A study that assessed 13 healthy adults (aged 25 ± 2.7 years) in different sensory conditions and tasks⁴⁰ reported that, in no-task condition, regardless of visual condition, sleep deprivation increased COP values in AP direction. 40 The AP direction result found in this study may be related to the participants' age. Sleep deprivation affects young adults in the opposite direction, in contrast with older adults, in whom sleep deprivation affects in both directions. This may result from adductor and abductor muscle atrophy due to aging, making the muscles closer to the center of mass maintain an upright posture. Hence, sleep deprivation may change the biomechanics of postural control differently in young and older adults. 41 Thus, by destabilizing postural control, sleep debt may be a significant risk factor for falls - particularly, given the high incidence of fall-related accidents in the workplace.⁴⁰ These findings could also help change postural control. Therefore, it is especially important to assess these variables in schoolteachers.

Sleep quality can be subjectively and objectively measured. Both are valuable instruments and should be used according to the objective to be achieved. A study suggested

Table 2 Comparative good/poor sleep (according to the PSQI) subgroups analysis and postural control variables (mean difference).

Continuous Variables		Good Sleep (n = 19) PSQI	95% CI	Poor Sleep (n = 22) PSQI	95% CI	p Mann-Whiney test
Bipedal stance	-	•	-	•	_	•
Age (years)		45 [23] ^a	37.3-53.8	46 [17.5]	40.1–50.1	p = 0.855
A-COP (cm ²)		2.7 [2.2]	1.5-3.8	3.1 [4.2]	(-10)-9.7	p = 0.583
VEL AP (cm/s)		0.3 [0.2]	0.2-0.5	0.4 [0.4]	(-0.1)-0.5	p = 0.784
VEL ML (cm/s)		0.4 [0.5]	0.2-0.6	0.5 [0.3]	0.05-0.5	p = 1.000
D-TOTAL (cm)		19.2 [12.2]	9.1-27.2	20.4 [16.1]	(-0.9)-25.1	p = 0.834
AMP-AP (cm)		0.8 [1.2]	0.5-1.4	1.4 [1.2]	0.2-2	p = 0.480
AMP-ML (cm)		1.1 [0.9]	0.5-1.3	1.1 [0.8]	(-0.4)-1.8	p = 0.958
Semitandem stance	•				•	
Age (years)		45 [23]	37.3-53.8	46 [17.5]	40.1-50.1	p = 0.855
A-COP (cm ²)		2.5 [3]	1-3.3	3.1 [4]	1.8-5.1	p = 0.100
VEL AP (cm/s)		0.1 [0.3]	(-0.06)-0.2	0.003 [0.3]	(-0.1)-0.1	p = 0.278
VEL ML (cm/s)	4	0.4 [0.2]	0.2-0.5	0.3 [0.3]	0.2-0.4	p = 0.464
D-TOTAL (cm)	1-1	13.8 [10.8]	4.9-17.7	7.2 [14.7]	2.2-12.3	p = 0.374
AMP-AP (cm)		0.6 [0.9]	0.3-1.1	0.8 [1.4]	0.4-1.5	p = 0.166
AMP-ML (cm)	_ 1	0.2 [1.1]	(-0.2)-0.8	0.3 [0.7]	(-0.02)-0.7	p = 0.937
Categorical Variables		Good Sleep (N = 19) N (%)		Poor Sleep (N = 22) N (%)		p Chi-square test
Gender	Female	13 (44.8)		16 (55.2)		p = 1.000
	Male	6 (50)		6 (50)		$X^2 = 0.000$
Age range (years)	27-46	8 (44.4)		10 (55.6)		p = 1.000
	47–65	11 (47.8)		12 (52.2)		$X^2 = 0.000$
Dizziness	Yes	5 (45.5)		6 (54.5)		p = 1.000
	No	14 (46.7)		16 (53.3)		$X^2 = 0.000$
Tinnitus	Yes	4 (66.7)		2 (33.3)		p = 0.524
	No	15 (42.9)		20 (57.1)		$X^2 = 0.406$
Aural Fullness	Yes	2 (50)		2 (50)		p = 1.000
	No	17 (45.9)		20 (54.1)		$X^2 = 0.000$
Hearing loss	Yes	4 (57.1)		3 (42.9)		p = 0.685
	No	15 (44.1)		19 (55.9)		$X^2 = 0.396$
Diabetes	Yes	1 (50)		1 (50)		p = 1.000
	No	18 (46.2)		21 (53.8)		$X^2 = 0.000$
SAH	Yes	3 (33.3)		6 (66.7)		p = 0.466
	No	16 (50)		16 (50)		$X^2 = 0.785$
Neck pain	Yes	7 (43.8)		9 (56.2)		p = 1.000
	No	12 (48)		13 (52)		$X^2 = 0.000$
Physical Activity	Low	6 (40)		9 (60)		p = 0.707
	Moderate	6 (50)		6 (50)		$X^2 = 0.270$

Abbreviations: A-COP, center of pressure area; AMP-AP, amplitude in the anterior posterior direction; AMP-ML, amplitude in the mediolateral direction; D-TOTAL, total displacement; SAH, systemic arterial hypertension; VEL AP, velocity in the anterior posterior direction; VEL ML, velocity in the mediolateral direction.

^amedian and interquartile range.

Table 3 Spearman correlation between sleep parameters and postural control variables (n = 41).

	Actigraphy ^a r _s ; p ^c				PSQl ^b r _s ; p ^c				
	LATª	TIBa	TSTª	EFIC	LAT ^b	TIB ^b	TST ^b	EFIC ^b	T-SCORE ^b
Bipedal stance									
A-COP	-0.144; 0.370	0.011; 0.944	-0.082; 0.612	-0.160; 0.317	0.098; 0.544	-0.005; 0.975	-0.005; 0.973	0.063; 0.694	-0.118; 0.464
VEL AP	-0.206; 0.197	-0.082; 0.610	0.015; 0.925	0.219; 0.169	-0.160; 0.318	0.002; 0.990	0.027; 0.865	0.055; 0.733	-0.167; 0.297
VEL ML	-0.049; 0.762	0.004; 0.978	-0.044; 0.786	-0.006; 0.969	-0.053; 0.743	0.037; 0.817	-0.040; 0.806	-0.020; 0.903	-0.041; 0.372
D-TOTAL	-0.158; 0.324	-0.017; 0.915	0.027; 0.867	0.159; 0.322	-0.111; 0.491	0.028; 0.863	-0.007; 0.965	0.004; 0.979	-0.166; 0.299
AMP-AP	-0.126; 0.433	0.154; 0.337	0.101; 0.529	-0.105; 0.513	0.195; 0.222	0.179; 0.262	-0.035; 0.826	-0.275; 0.082	0.154; 0.335
AMP-ML	-0.265; 0.094	-0.049; 0.760	-0.096; 0.551	-0.030; 0.854	-0.014; 0.928	-0.112; 0.484	-0.085; 0.596	-0.070; 0.664	0.040; 0.804
Semi tandem stance	LATª	TIBª	TSTª	EFIC	LAT ^b	TIB ^b	q 1S1	EFIC ^b	T-SCORE ^b
A-COP	0.169; 0.291	-0.157; 0.328	-0.155; 0.333	-0.077; 0.633	0.250; 0.115	0.222; 0.163	-0.211; 0.186	-0.424; 0.006**	0.198; 0.214
VEL AP	-0.018; 0.911	-0.109; 0.497	-0.026; 0.874	0.053; 0.744	-0.208; 0.191	-0.038; 0.814	0.085; 0.599	0.106; 0.511	-0.221; 0.164
VEL ML	0.119; 0.460	-0.124; 0.440	-0.110; 0.494	-0.037; 0.820	-0.345; 0.227	-0.241; 0.130	0.033; 0.840	0.229; 0.149	-0.321; 0.340
D-TOTAL	-0.015; 0.927	-0.165; 0.304	-0.094; 0.557	0.053; 0.742	-0.296; 0.060	-0.161; 0.314	0.040; 0.806	0.180; 0.260	-0.294; 0.062
AMP-AP	-0.086; 0.591	-0.143; 0.372	-0.087; 0.590	0.050; 0.756	0.210; 0.187	0.296; 0.061	-0.196; 0.218	-0.386; 0.013**	0.181; 0.256
AMP-ML	0.272; 0.085	0.015; 0.927	-0.019; 0.907	-0.174; 0.277	-0.063; 0.696	-0.057; 0.723	0.027; 0.866	-0.003; 0.986	-0.161; 0.315

Abbreviations: A-COP, center of pressure area; AMP-AP, amplitude in the anterior posterior direction; AMP-ML, amplitude in the mediolateral direction; D-TOTAL, total displacement; EFIC, Sleep efficiency; LAT, Sleep Latency; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; TIB, Total Time in Bed; T-SCORE, total score; TST, Total Sleep Time; VEL AP, velocity in the anterior posterior direction; VEL ML, velocity in the mediolateral direction.

^aActigraphy data.

bPSQI data.

 $^{^{}c}r_{s}=$ Spearman correlation value; p= statistical difference.

^{**(}statistically significant difference)

that perceived sleep quality is quite different from objective reality, at least for adults 55 years or more; this difference is unrelated to age, gender, educational attainment, or cognitive status (assessed using standard screens).²⁷ This may be an explanation for the absence of other findings between groups. Another possibility is that actigraphy sleep efficiency calculation includes post-sleep onset waking data – which cannot be inferred from the questionnaire. However, there were no differences or correlations for post-sleep onset waking data (data not shown in the tables).

Subgroup analyses were made regarding gender, age groups, dizziness, aural fullness, tinnitus, diabetes, hypertension, hearing loss, neck pain, and physical activity. The sample comprised mostly women, which was to be expected, agreeing with previous studies. ⁴² Nonetheless, there were no differences or associations between the variables analyzed.

Lastly, this study has several strengths, including the assessment of a specific population with similar occupations (schoolteachers), with objectively and subjectively measured sleep quality, and objectively assessed postural control. However, some limitations should be considered. Postural control was assessed with eyes open in BP and ST stances; it would be interesting to assess it in other sensory conditions, as different results may be found. Bipedal stance is relatively easy to perform and may not have been discriminatory. Nevertheless, the foam surface and the semitandem stance proved to efficiently challenge the participants' postural control and show results. In addition, the teachers were middle-aged adults, so these results, though discrete, are important because, with advancing age, the problems studied here may worsen. Another limitation of the study was that it did not address other variables - such as stress, fatigue, daytime sleepiness, and so on - that may significantly affect schoolteachers' sleep and postural control.

Conclusion

There were associations between subjective sleep efficiency and A-COP and AMP-AP – as sleep efficiency decreases, postural sway increases. Thus, sleep quality can affect postural control responses. Further studies with larger samples are needed to better generalize these findings to other populations with sleep disorders.

Acknowledgment

Gratitude is extended to FUNADESP – National Foundation for the Development of Private Higher Education.

References

- 1 da Silva LG, da Silva MC. Working and health conditions of preschool teachers of the public school network of Pelotas, State of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Cienc Saude Colet. 2013;18(11): 3137–46. doi: Doi: 10.1590/S1413-81232013001100004
- 2 Cardoso JP, Araújo TM, Carvalho FM, Oliveira NF, Reis EJFB. [Psychosocial work-related factors and musculoskeletal pain among schoolteachers]. Cad Saude Publica 2011;27(08):1498--1506. Doi: 10.1590/S0102-311×2011000800005

- 3 de Ceballos AG, Santos GB. Factors associated with musculoskeletal pain among teachers: sociodemographics aspects, general health and well-being at work. Rev Bras Epidemiol 2015;18 (03):702–715. Doi: 10.1590/1980-5497201500030015
- 4 Hori D, Sasahara S, Oi Y, et al. Relationships between insomnia, long working hours, and long commuting time among public school teachers in Japan: a nationwide cross-sectional diary study. Sleep Med 2020;75:62–72. Doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2019.09.017
- 5 Pereira C, Almeida C, Veiga N, Amaral O. Prevalence and determinants of insomnia symptoms among schoolteachers. Aten Primaria 2014;46(Suppl 5, Suppl 5)118–122. Doi: 10.1016/S0212-6567(14)70077-0
- 6 Fujishiro K, Farley AN, Kellemen M, Swoboda CM. Exploring associations between state education initiatives and teachers' sleep: A social-ecological approach. Soc Sci Med 2017;191:151–159. Doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.09.019
- 7 National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Sleep and sleep disorders. 2017. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/sleep/about_us.html
- 8 Castro LS, Poyares D, Leger D, Bittencourt L, Tufik S. Objective prevalence of insomnia in the São Paulo, Brazil epidemiologic sleep study. Ann Neurol 2013;74(04):537–546. Doi: 10.1002/ana.23945
- 9 Zanuto EAC, de Lima MC, de Araújo RG, et al. Sleep disturbances in adults in a city of Sao Paulo state. Rev Bras Epidemiol 2015;18 (01):42-53. Doi: 10.1590/1980-5497201500010004
- 10 de Souza SCS, Campanini MZ, de Andrade SM, González AD, de Melo JM, Mesas AE. Watching television for more than two hours increases the likelihood of reporting poor sleep quality among Brazilian schoolteachers. Physiol Behav 2017;179:105–109. Doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2017.05.029
- 11 Furtado F, Gonçalves BD, Abranches IL, Abrantes AF, Forner-Cordero A. Chronic low-quality sleep impairs postural control in healthy adults. PLoS One 2016;11(10):e0163310. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0163310
- 12 Montesinos L, Castaldo R, Cappuccio FP, Pecchia L. Day-to-day variations in sleep quality affect standing balance in healthy adults. Sci Rep 2018;8(01):17504. Doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-36053-4
- 13 Gribble PA, Hertel J. Effect of hip and ankle muscle fatigue on unipedal postural control. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2004;14(06): 641–646. Doi: 10.1016/j.jelekin.2004.05.001
- 14 Hita-Contreras F, Zagalaz-Anula N, Martínez-Amat A, et al. Sleep quality and its association with postural stability and fear of falling among Spanish postmenopausal women. Menopause 2018;25(01):62–69. Doi: 10.1097/GME.0000000000000941
- 15 Cheng S, Ma J, Sun J, et al. Differences in sensory reweighting due to loss of visual and proprioceptive cues in postural stability support among sleep-deprived cadet pilots. Gait Posture 2018; 63:97–103. Doi: 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.04.037
- 16 Fernández-Huerta L, Aravena-Arriagada J, Bernales-Montero M, Córdova-León K. Relationship between sleep quality and postural balance in community-dwelling older persons: studio transversal. Medwave 2019;19(05):e7651. Doi: 10.5867/medwave.2019. 05.7652
- 17 Brazil. Constitutional Amendment No. 103. Alters the social security system and establishes transitional rules and transitional provisions. Federal Official Gazette 13 nov 2019 [acessed in 11 jun 2020]. Available from: http://www.in.gov.br/en/web/dou/-/emenda-constitucional-n-103-227649622
- 18 Birolim MM, Mesas AE, González AD, Santos HG, Haddad MCFL, Andrade SM. Trabalho de alta exigência entre professores: associações com fatores ocupacionais conforme o apoio social. Cienc Saude Colet. 2019;24(04):1255-64. doi: Doi: 10.1590/1413-81232018244.08542017
- 19 Miller MH. A integração dos achados audiológicos. In: Katz J, editor. Tratado de audiologia clínica, 3 ed. São Paulo: Manole; 1999. p. 268-70.

- 20 Castro ASO, Gazzola JM, Natour J, Ganança FF. [Brazilian version of the dizziness handicap inventory]. Pro Fono 2007;19(01):97–104. Doi: 10.1590/s0104-56872007000100011
- 21 Jacobson GP, Newman CW, Hunter L, Balzer GK. Balance function test correlates of the Dizziness Handicap Inventory. J Am Acad Audiol 1991;2(04):253–260
- 22 Matsudo S, Araujo T, Matsudo V, et al. International Physical Activity Questionnaire: study of validity and reliability in Brazil. Rev bras ativ fis saude. 2001;6:5–18. Available from: https://rbafs.org.br/RBAFS/article/view/931
- 23 IPAQ Research Committee. Guidelines for data processing and analysis of the international physical activity questionnaire (IPAQ): Short and Long Forms. 2005.
- 24 Campanini MZ, Lopez-Garcia E, Rodríguez-Artalejo F, González AD, Andrade SM, Mesas AE. Agreement between sleep diary and actigraphy in a highly educated Brazilian population. Sleep Med 2017;35:27–34. Doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2017.04.004
- 25 Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF III, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Res 1989;28(02):193–213. Doi: 10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4
- 26 Bertolazi AN, Fagondes SC, Hoff LS, et al. Validation of the Brazilian Portuguese version of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. Sleep Med 2011;12(01):70–75. Doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2010.04.020
- 27 Landry GJ, Best JR, Liu-Ambrose T. Measuring sleep quality in older adults: a comparison using subjective and objective methods. Front Aging Neurosci 2015;7:166. Doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2015. 00166
- 28 Mollayeva T, Thurairajah P, Burton K, Mollayeva S, Shapiro CM, Colantonio A. The Pittsburgh sleep quality index as a screening tool for sleep dysfunction in clinical and non-clinical samples: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Sleep Med Rev 2016; 25:52–73. Doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2015.01.009
- 29 Buysse DJ. Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. Form administration instructions, references and scoring [Internet]. 2005. Available at: https://www.sleep.pitt.edu/instruments/
- 30 da Silva RA, Vieira ER, Carvalho CE, Oliveira MR, Amorim CF, Neto EN. Age-related differences on low back pain and postural control during one-leg stance: a case-control study. Eur Spine J 2016;25 (04):1251–1257. Doi: 0.1007/s00586-015-4255-9
- 31 da Silva RA, Bilodeau M, Parreira RB, Teixeira DC, Amorim CF. Agerelated differences in time-limit performance and force platform-

- based balance measures during one-leg stance. J Electromyogr Kinesiol 2013;23(03):634–639. Doi: 10.1016/j.jelekin.2013.01. 008
- 32 Paillard T, Noé F Techniques and methods for testing the postural function in healthy and pathological subjects. BioMed Res Int 2015;2015:891390. Doi: 10.1155/2015/891390
- 33 de Oliveira MR, da Silva RA, Dascal JB, Teixeira DC. Effect of different types of exercise on postural balance in elderly women: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2014;59 (03):506–514. Doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2014.08.009
- 34 Gil AWO, da Silva RA, de Oliveira MR, Carvalho CE, Oliveira DAAP. Comparison of postural control in five tasks of balance and relation of risk of falls between older and young adult women. Fisioter Pesqui 2017;24(02):120–126. Doi: 10.1590/1809-2950/15804424022017
- 35 Oliveira MR, Vieira ER, Gil AWO, et al. One-legged stance sway of older adults with and without falls. PLoS One 2018;13(09): e0203887. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0203887
- 36 Portney LG, Watkins MP. Foundations of clinical research applications to practice. 2 nd ed. New Jersey: Upper Saddle River; 2000:61–77
- 37 Patel M, Gomez S, Berg S, et al. Effects of 24-h and 36-h sleep deprivation on human postural control and adaptation. Exp Brain Res 2008;185(02):165–173. Doi: 10.1007/s00221-007-1143-5
- 38 Gomez S, Patel M, Berg S, Magnusson M, Johansson R, Fransson PA. Effects of proprioceptive vibratory stimulation on body movement at 24 and 36h of sleep deprivation. Clin Neurophysiol 2008; 119(03):617–625. Doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2007.10.058
- 39 Vaara JP, Oksanen H, Kyröläinen H, Virmavirta M, Koski H, Finni T. 60-Hour Sleep Deprivation Affects Submaximal but Not Maximal Physical Performance. Front Physiol 2018;9:1437. Doi: 10.3389/ fphys.2018.01437
- 40 Robillard R, Prince F, Boissonneault M, Filipini D, Carrier J. Effects of increased homeostatic sleep pressure on postural control and their modulation by attentional resources. Clin Neurophysiol 2011;122(09):1771–1778. Doi: 10.1016/j.clinph.2011.02.010
- 41 Robillard R, Prince F, Filipini D, Carrier J. Aging worsens the effects of sleep deprivation on postural control. PLoS One 2011;6(12): e28731. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0028731
- 42 Vedovato TG, Monteiro I. Health conditions and factors related to the work ability of teachers. Ind Health 2014;52(02):121–128. Doi: 10.2486/indhealth.2013-0096