

Family Medicine Updates



North American
PRIMARY CARE
RESEARCH GROUP

From the North
American Primary Care
Research Group

Ann Fam Med 2018;16:85-86. <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.2189>.

CHALLENGES AND NEXT STEPS FOR PRIMARY CARE RESEARCH

Towards Better Health, Social, and Community-Based Services Integration for Patients With Chronic Conditions and Complex Care Needs

Individuals suffering from multiple chronic conditions may have health care needs that are more complex due to mental health comorbidities and/or social vulnerabilities,¹ leading to frequent use of health care services.²⁻⁴ Individuals with such complex needs require a variety of health care, social, and community-based services and supports. Without well-coordinated efforts among all these partners, patients with complex needs may experience excessive or ineffective health care resulting in poor health outcomes, and considerable costs to the health and social services system.⁵

Organizing services to improve care and performance for these high needs patients is a priority for the health care system, and implies better integration of services provided by health care and social professionals as well as community-based services.⁴ A forum at the 2016 NAPCRG⁶ conference gathered international researchers to discuss challenges and next steps for primary care research to better inform and facilitate this integration. Below we summarize the discussion on challenges to conducting research to benefit patients with complex needs including research designs and funding.

Challenges to Conducting Research to Benefit Patients With Complex Needs

There is no clear definition for patients with complex medical and social needs and the population is heterogeneous. Therefore identifying eligible participants is often a challenge when recruiting these patients.⁷ Health care providers' opinion, combined with objective eligibility criteria, can be helpful to properly identify the right patients for a given intervention.⁸

Once such patients have been identified, medical or social vulnerability can make recruitment more difficult because of mistrust, travelling issues, or because data collection could seem too intensive. Retention may also be a concern during follow-up because of the

increased risk of health or social problems preventing further participation in the study.

Research Designs

Interventions for these patients, such as case management,⁹ are often complex, bringing together professionals from various sectors to produce outcomes which are in turn dependent on multiple factors.¹⁰ Traditional randomized controlled trial studies may be harder because of the complexity of the interventions and the heterogeneity of the participants. Initial success with small, often qualitative, studies, can create a foundation for more robust pragmatic trials.

Health and social services research often starts from different paradigms, and uses different conceptual models, language, and research designs. Asking the right questions, using consensual paradigms in addressing issues that matter to patients and stakeholders, and choosing appropriate process and outcomes measures requires collaborative teamwork. It is crucial to bring together all stakeholders early on in the research process: researchers; patients with complex needs; decision makers; health and social professionals; and community-based services members. Adequate time is needed to allow productive discussions toward mutual understanding. Since capturing these process elements is essential, study designs should seek to understand as well as to measure these processes.

Engaging patients with complex needs and other stakeholders, including health authorities, in the research process could also help to identify meaningful outcomes. These may go beyond traditional measures of cost or quality indicators to include functioning and other patient reported outcomes. New designs such as realist evaluation¹¹ or qualitative process evaluation,¹² can help in identifying process points and informing about context influence. Moreover, dissemination of results should be reinforced, not only with patients and health and social professionals but also with decision makers and community partners.

Funding

In many countries, funding is specific to community, social, or health research. It is hard to get funding to cross these divides, perpetuating fragmented approaches. These silos complicate the necessary partnership among health, social, and community researchers. Having access to combined health care and social services funds would be useful to nurture relationships and integration among all partners.

An advocacy effort should aim to increase awareness of funders, decision makers, and partners concerned by metrics, about the time needed to develop productive partnerships, and meaningful process measures. Time well invested in this regard will definitely lead to interventions better answering the needs of patients and stakeholders.

Conclusion of the Forum

Conducting research to improve care for individuals with complex health care and social needs calls for complex interventions integrating services provided by health care and social professionals as well as community-based services. Taking time to develop and nurture partnerships and engage patients and other stakeholders in research allows a better understanding of each other's reality, increasing sustainability, and identification of more relevant research designs as well as process and outcome measures. Developing a common language and having access to combined health care and social services funds would help to promote this partnership.

Catherine Hudon, MD, PhD, CFPC^{1,2}; Maud-Christine Chouinard, RN, PhD³; Elizabeth Bayliss, MD, MSPH^{4,5}; Stephanie Nothelle, MD, PhD⁶; Nicolas Semm, MD, PhD⁷; Effrat Shadmi, RN, PhD⁸

¹Département de médecine de famille et de médecine d'urgence, Université de Sherbrooke; ²Centre de recherche du Centre hospitalier universitaire de Sherbrooke; ³Département des sciences de la santé, Université du Québec à Chicoutimi; ⁴Institute for Health Research, Kaiser Permanente Colorado; ⁵Department of Family Medicine, University of Colorado School of Medicine; ⁶Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University; ⁷Policlinique médicale universitaire, Université de Lausanne; ⁸Faculty of Social Welfare and Health Sciences, University of Halifax

References

- Manning E, Gagnon M. The complex patient: A concept clarification. *Nurs Health Sci*. 2017;19(1):13-21.
- Byrne M, Murphy AW, Plunkett PK, McGee HM, Murray A, Bury G. Frequent attenders to an emergency department: a study of primary health care use, medical profile, and psychosocial characteristics. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2003;41(3):309-318.
- Hansagi H, Olsson M, Sjöberg S, Tomson Y, Göransson S. Frequent use of the hospital emergency department is indicative of high use of other health care services. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2001;37(6):561-567.
- Blumenthal D, Chernof B, Fulmer T, Lumpkin J, Selberg J. Caring for high-need, high-cost patients - an urgent priority. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(10):909-911.
- Schoen C, Osborn R, Squires D, Doty M, Pierson R, Applebaum S. New 2011 survey of patients with complex care needs in eleven countries finds that care is often poorly coordinated. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2011;30(12):2437-2448.
- Hudon C, Chouinard M-C, Bayliss E, et al. Health, social and community partnerships for patients with chronic conditions and complex care needs: challenges, successes and next steps for primary care research. 44th North American Primary Care Research Group (NAPCRG) Annual Meeting. Colorado, USA, 2016.

- Krieg C, Hudon C, Chouinard MC, Dufour I. Individual predictors of frequent emergency department use: a scoping review. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2016;16(1):594.
- Freund T, Gondan M, Rochon J, et al. Comparison of physician referral and insurance claims data-based risk prediction as approaches to identify patients for care management in primary care: an observational study. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2013;14:157.
- Case Management Society of America. *What is a Case Manager?* <http://www.cmsa.org/Home/CMSA/WhatisaCaseManager/tabid/224/Default.aspx>. Accessed Dec 07, 2016.
- Campbell NC, Murray E, Darbyshire J, et al. Designing and evaluating complex interventions to improve health care. *BMJ*. 2007;334(7591):455-459.
- Pawson R. *The Science of Evaluation: A Realist Manifesto*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications; 2013.
- Moore GF, Audrey S, Barker M, et al. Process evaluation of complex interventions: Medical Research Council guidance. *BMJ*. 2015;350:h1258.



Ann Fam Med 2018;16:86-88. <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.2192>

AAFP GIVES GUIDANCE FOR HHS STRATEGIC PLAN THROUGH 2022

The US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) has released a draft strategic plan for fiscal years 2018-2022 and invited stakeholders such as the AAFP to provide feedback. Because the Academy welcomes every opportunity to help guide HHS activities, AAFP Board Chair John Meigs, MD, of Centreville, Alabama, responded on behalf of the AAFP in an October 19, 2017 letter (<http://www.aafp.org/content/dam/AAFP/documents/advocacy/legal/administrative/LT-HHS-Draft2018-2022Framework-101917.pdf>) to HHS Acting Assistant Secretary John Graham.

The HHS draft plan lays out how the agency will achieve its mission over the course of the next few years through 5 strategic goals—with objectives outlined for each. Those goals, in order, are to:

- Reform, strengthen, and modernize the nation's health care system
- Protect the health of Americans where they live, learn, work, and play
- Strengthen the economic and social well-being of Americans across their lifespans
- Foster sound, sustained advances in the sciences
- Promote effective and efficient management and stewardship

The AAFP used the bulk of its 7-page letter to respond to an objective for the first goal that touches